

Stochastic Processes and Stochastic Calculus - 8

Stochastic Differential Equations and their link with Partial Differential Equations

Università degli Studi di Pisa

San Miniato - 15 September 2016

- 1 Stochastic Differential Equations
 - Definitions
 - Existence and uniqueness
 - Examples

- 2 SDE's and Partial Differential Equations

- 3 Black-Scholes formulas
 - First approach - PDE's
 - Second approach - Stochastic calculus

Ordinary differential equations

By ordinary differential equation we mean an equation whose unknown is a **curve** (function)

$$\begin{cases} x : [t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{dx}{dt}(t) = f(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, T), \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

We can think of $f(t, y)$ as a prescribed **velocity** for the curve, at time t , if its position is y .

A **solution** is therefore a function such that

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, T].$$

Example

If $f(t, y) = ay$, then the equation is

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(t) = ax(t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x(t) = x_0 e^{a(t-t_0)}.$$

In a similar way, we call **Stochastic differential equation** an equation whose unknown is a **Itô process**

$$X : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

in the form – using the “differential notation”

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha(t, X_t)dt + \beta(t, X_t)dB_t & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

In **integral form**, we mean that

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \alpha(s, X_s)ds + \int_0^t \beta(s, X_s)dB_s \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

We can think of

- $\alpha(t, y)$ as a prescribed velocity (**drift**) for the process, at time t , if its position is y .
- $\beta(t, y)$ as a prescribed intensity of “oscillations” (**diffusion coefficient**) for the process, at time t , if its position is y .

You may recall a classical result for ordinary differential equations (theorem of Cauchy-Lipschitz), stating that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt}(t) = f(t, x(t)) & \text{for } t \in (t_0, T), \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution (for a small interval) around t_0 , if

$f(t, y)$ is continuous and uniformly Lipschitz w.r.t. y , i.e. for some $K > 0$
 $|f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)| \leq K|y_1 - y_2|$, for every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness for SDE's)

Assume that drift α and diffusion β are continuous and satisfy, for every $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\alpha(t, y_1) - \alpha(y_2)| \leq K|y_1 - y_2| \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta(t, y_1) - \alpha(y_2)| \leq K|y_1 - y_2|$$

$$|\alpha(t, y)| \leq C(1 + |y|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta(t, y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)$$

then the equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha(t, X_t)dt + \beta(t, X_t)dB_t & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

has a **unique** solution on $[0, T]$ (global, i.e. defined for all times).

Example (geometric Brownian motion)

$$dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma dB_t$$

we have $\alpha(t, y) = y\mu$ and $\beta(t, y) = y\sigma$, \Rightarrow the solution we found is **unique**:

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma B_t \right)$$

Example (Langevin's equation)

We consider the SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma dB_t & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Recall that the **linear** ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(t) = rx(t) + g(t)$$

admits an “explicit formula” for its solution,

$$x(t) = x(0)e^{rt} + e^{rt} \int_0^t e^{-rs} g(s) ds$$

Formally taking $g(t) = \sigma \frac{dB_t}{dt}$, we obtain

$$x(0)e^{rt} + e^{rt} \int_0^t e^{-rs} \sigma \frac{dB_s}{ds} ds = x(0)e^{rt} + e^{rt} \int_0^t e^{-rs} \sigma dB_s$$

where the right hand side is an **Itô integral**.

One can verify that formal substitution gives the **the unique** solution

$$X_t = x_0 e^{rt} + \sigma e^{rt} \int_0^t e^{-rs} dB_s$$

called **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck** process.

SDE's and Partial Differential Equations (PDE's)

We show that SDE's and certain linear Partial Differential Equations are **linked** (a simplified version of the **Feynmann-Kac formula**). The fundamental tool we use is **Itô formula**.

Assume that $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a solution to the equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the **linear differential operator**

$$A_t = rx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x)^2},$$

acting on functions $u(t, x)$ that are differentiable (at least)

once w.r.t. $t \in (0, T)$ and **twice** w.r.t. $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

we write

$$(A_t u)(t, x) = rx \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial x)^2}(t, x)$$

We show that the operator A_t appears when we apply **Itô formula**. Recall that

$$dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t \Rightarrow (dX_t)^2 = (\sigma(t, X_t))^2 dt.$$

Let $u(t, x)$ be differentiable **once** w.r.t. $t \in (0, T)$ and **twice** w.r.t. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(u(t, X_t)) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, X_t) dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial x)^2}(t, X_t) (dX_t)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, X_t) + rX_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, X_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma(t, X_t))^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, X_t) \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, X_t) + (A_t u)(t, X_t) \right) dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, X_t) \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t \end{aligned}$$

If we apply Itô formula to $e^{-rt} u(t, X_t)$ we obtain instead

$$d\left(e^{-rt} u(t, X_t)\right) = e^{-rt} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, X_t) + (A_t u)(t, X_t) - ru(t, X_t) \right) dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t \right\}$$

We found (all the functions are evaluated at (t, X_t))

$$d\left(e^{-rt}u(t, X_t)\right) = e^{-rt} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (A_t u) - ru \right) dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \sigma dB_t \right\},$$

Assume that $u(t, x)$ is a (classical, differentiable) solution to the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) + (A_t u)(t, x) - ru(t, x) = 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(T, x) = f(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

and **assume** that

$$\int_0^t e^{-rs} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s$$

is a martingale (e.g. a stochastic integral of the first kind).

$\Rightarrow e^{-rt}u(t, X_t)$ is a **martingale** and in particular

$$e^{-rt}u(t, X_t) = E \left[e^{-rT} u(T, X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = E \left[e^{-rT} f(X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Two different approaches to Black-Scholes equation

Recall that in the Samuelson-Black-Scholes model

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma B_t \right), \quad \text{and} \quad S_t^0 = e^{-rt}.$$

We illustrate **two** approaches to prove

Theorem (Black-Scholes formulas)

The price of a **call option** $(S_T - K)^+$ at time $t \in [0, T]$ is given by

$$C_t(\omega) = C(t, S_t(\omega))$$

where

$$C_t(x) = xN(d_+) + ke^{-r(T-t)}N(d_-)$$

$$N(d) = \int_{-\infty}^d \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz \quad (\text{c.d.f. of a } \mathcal{N}(0, 1))$$

$$d_{\pm} = \frac{\log \left(\frac{x}{k} \right) + \left(r \pm \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T - t}}$$

Similar formula for put option $(K - S_t)^+$.

We consider a **general option** X whose value at time T is $f(S_T)$.

We use **partial differential equations** (original approach by Black-Scholes).

W.r.t. the **general** case studied before, we have $\sigma(t, x) = \sigma \cdot x \Rightarrow$ the PDE is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x) + rx \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{(\partial x)^2}(t, x) - rF(t, x) = 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\ F(T, x) = f(x) & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem

The **no-arbitrage** price of the option X at time t is

$$F(t, S_t(\omega)).$$

We **use** the general result from the previous section, with $\sigma(t, x) = \sigma \cdot x \Rightarrow$

$$e^{-rt}F(t, S_t) = E^* \left[e^{-rT} F(T, S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = E^* \left[e^{-rT} f(S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

where E^* means that we use the **equivalent martingale probability**.

To obtain Black-Scholes formulas, we **solve** explicitly the PDE.

We use **stochastic calculus**:

- 1 **Every** (square integrable) **option** can be replicated by a self-financing portfolio (**martingale representation theorem**)
- 2 Under an equivalent probability P^* (**Girsanov theorem**) the asset's price is given by

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma B_t^* \right)$$

where B_t^* is a P^* -Brownian motion.

- 3 The value at time $t \in [0, T]$ of the **replicating portfolio** is given by

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E^* [f(S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

\Rightarrow we have to find an expression for $E^* [f(S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$.

We have to find an expression for $E^* [f(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t]$.

$$S_0 \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma B_t^* \right)$$

gives

$$S_T = S_t \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right)$$

By the **properties** conditional expectation

$$\begin{aligned} E^* [f(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t] &= E^* \left[f \left(S_t \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right) \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= G(t, S_t), \end{aligned}$$

where, by independence of increments of B^* ,

$$G(t, x) = E^* \left[f \left(x \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right) \right) \right]$$

To compute

$$G(t, x) = E^* \left[f \left(x \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (B_T^* - B_t^*) \right) \right) \right]$$

we use the fact that $B_T^* - B_t^* = \sqrt{T - t}Z$, where $Z = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

$$G(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f \left(x \exp \left(\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma \sqrt{T - t}z \right) \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz.$$

To obtain BS-formula for **call**, write $f(x) = (x - k)^+$ (we skip some computations on integrals...)

Comments on the two approaches

- 1 The first method (PDE's) is “classic” (analytical, does not require **stochastic integration**) but it works only for **particular** models (Markovian models)
- 2 The second method requires “advanced” tools from stochastic integration, but it is **open** to more general models (possibly non Markovian).