

Lezione 6
Modelli e volatilità locale

$$dS_t = S_t (\mu(t, S_t) dt + \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t)$$

$\mathbb{F}_t^S = \mathbb{F}_t^W \rightarrow$ Tenere conto mantenimento completo del mercato

prob. mart. equivalente

$$d\tilde{S}_t = \tilde{S}_t (z dt + \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t^*)$$

$$d\tilde{S}_t = \tilde{S}_t \sigma(t, S_t) dW_t^* \quad \left[\text{come determinare } \sigma(t, x)? \right]$$

Dupire (1984)

$$C(t, k) \quad \begin{matrix} 0 \leq t \leq T \\ k > 0 \end{matrix}$$

questo prova derivato da un modello con

$$\frac{k}{2} \sigma^2(t, k) = \frac{\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(t, k) + z k \frac{\partial C}{\partial k}(t, k)}{\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial k^2}(t, k)}$$

Problema: $V_t = e^{-z(T-t)} E^* [f(S_T) | \mathbb{F}_t]$?
come calcolare H_t ?

$$dX_t = z X_t dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t$$

$$X_0 = x \quad A_t = z x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{x^2 \sigma^2(t, x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$$

funz. u C^1 in t C^2 in x

$$e^{-z\tau} u(t, X_t) - \int_0^\tau e^{-z\tau} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A_t u - z u \right) (s, X_s) ds$$

\hat{E} una mart. locale.

$$d(e^{-z\tau} u(t, X_t)) = e^{-z\tau} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A_t u - z u \right) (t, X_t) dt + \dots dW_t$$

$$d(e^{-z\tau} u(t, X_t)) = -z e^{-z\tau} u(t, X_t) dt + e^{-z\tau} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} A_t + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \frac{x^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right) (t, X_t) dt + \dots d[X]_t$$

collando sappiamo che u risolve in tutto dominio l'equazione

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A_t u - z u = 0$$

allora $(e^{-z\tau} u(t, X_t))$ è una mart. locale

Teorema: sappiamo che esiste una densità di

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + z x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{x^2 \sigma^2(t, x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} - z F = 0 \\ F(T, x) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad 0 < t < T, x > 0$$

sappiamo di aver verificato che $e^{-z\tau} F(t, S_t)$ è una vera martingale

$$\text{allora } H_t = F(t, S_t) \quad (H_t = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t))$$

$$e^{-z\tau} V_t = \tilde{V}_t = E^* [V_T | \mathbb{F}_t] = E^* [e^{-z\tau} f(S_T) | \mathbb{F}_t]$$

come in Black-Scholes

Modello di volatilità stocastica

$$dS_t = S_t (\mu(t, S_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t)$$

σ_t processo adattato $\mathbb{F}_t \supset \mathbb{F}_t^W$ ($W_t - W_s$ indep. da \mathbb{F}_s $s < t$)
 $\mathbb{F}_t^S \supset \mathbb{F}_t^W$ non vale più discorso sopra.
martingale

$$dS_t = S_t (\mu(t, S_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t^*) \quad \checkmark \quad z dt$$

$$d\sigma_t = \alpha(t, \sigma_t) dt + \beta(t, \sigma_t) dW_t^2$$

$$W^1, W^2 \text{ indep.} \quad d[W^1, W^2] = 0$$

$$\text{par. correlato} \quad d[W^1, W^2] = \rho dt \quad |\rho| < 1$$

$$W^1 \in \bar{W} \text{ indep.} \quad W_t^2 = \rho W_t^1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \bar{W}_t$$

Modello Sredni-Sredni

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = S_t (\mu dt + \sigma_t dW_t^1) \\ d\sigma_t = -\delta(\sigma_t - \bar{\sigma}) dt + \kappa dW_t^2 \\ d[W^1, W^2] = 0 \end{cases}$$

modello di Heathon (C.I.R.)

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = S_t (\mu dt + \sqrt{v_t} dW_t^1) \\ dv_t = \kappa(\bar{v} - v_t) dt + \xi \sqrt{v_t} dW_t^2 \\ d[W^1, W^2] = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$d\sigma_t = \alpha(t, \sigma_t) dt + \beta(t, \sigma_t) \left[\rho W_t^1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} W_t^2 \right]$$

$$\frac{dP^*}{dP} = \exp \left(\int_0^T K_1^1 dW_1^1 + \int_0^T K_2^2 dW_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (K_1^1)^2 + (K_2^2)^2 ds \right)$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} W_t^1 = \int_0^t K_1^1 ds \\ W_t^2 = \int_0^t K_2^2 ds \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ sono Wiener indipendenti}$$

(K_1^1) vincolato $0 \leq t \leq T$

(K_2^2) "libero"

non univoco prob. mantingale.

$$d\tilde{S}_t = \sigma(t, S_t) \tilde{S}_t dW_t^1$$

Determinare più realisticamente del mercato

come mi possiamo fare i conti?

$$d[W_t^1, W_t^2] = 0$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \quad W_t^1(w_1) \quad W_t^2(w_2)$$

$$P = P^1 \otimes P^2$$

$$dS_t(w_1, w_2) = S_t(w_1, w_2) (z dt + \sigma_t(w_2) dW_t^1(w_1))$$

$$E^* [e^{-zT} (S_T - k)^+] = \int_{\Omega_2} dP_2^*(w_2) \int_{\Omega_1} (S_T(w_1, w_2) - k)^+ e^{-zT} dP_1^*(w_1)$$

rimando w_2 - modello lognormale

$$C_0(S_0, k)(w_2) = S_0 \Phi(d_1(w_2)) - k e^{-zT} \Phi(d_2(w_2))$$

$$d_{1,2}(w_2) = \frac{\log(S_0/k) + \int_0^T (z \pm \frac{\sigma_s^2(w_2)}{2}) ds}{\sqrt{\int_0^T \sigma_s^2(w_2) ds}}$$

$$C_0(S_0, k) = S_0 \int_{\Omega_2} \Phi(d_1(w_2)) dP_2^*(w_2) - k e^{-zT} \int_{\Omega_2} \Phi(d_2(w_2)) dP_2^*(w_2)$$