

GMT 19/20

Lecture 2 13/3/20

## Approaches to Plateau Pb.

1] "Set theoretic", (easy for  $d=1$   
general case  
Reifenberg (mid 1960s  
+ others)

2] "Parametric approach",  
(works fine for  $d=1, d=2$ , not for  
 $d > 2$ . Douglas & Radó mid 1930s)

3] "Measure theoretic // distributional",  
- Define classes of "generalized",  
surfaces with good compactness  
properties

- ...

↑  
definition based on  
measure theory and  
reminds the def. of  
Sobolev functions

- Finite Perimeter Sets (DeGiorgi, late 1950s)



ORIENTED surfaces of codim. 1, i.e.,  $d = n-1$

- Integral currents (Federer-Fleming) (Early 1960s)



ORIENTED surfaces of arbitrary dim. and cod.  
( $1 \leq d \leq n-1$ )

I will describe the construction of these classes of gen. surf.

Prove existence of sol. of Pl. Pb.

Regularity? | Is quite delicate and not yet fully established

I will only prove minimal reg.

(min. surfaces will be closed rectifiable sets)

Rem Usually "min. surf." means surface with  $H=0$  (that is, sol. of the E-L eq. associated to the area functional)

In this course "min. surf." means minimizer of the area funct. (in a given class).

Examples of non regular minimal surfaces // examples where P.P. has no solution in the class of surfaces of class  $C^1$

EX 1 In  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$   $d=2$   $n=4$

$$\Gamma := (S^1 \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times S^1)$$

"then the sol. of P.P. is "singular" at (0,0)

$$\Sigma := (D^2 \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times D^2) //$$

More precisely: if  $\{\Sigma_n\}$  is a seq. of

surfaces of class  $C^1$  with  $\partial \Sigma_n = \Gamma$

oriented and is a minimizing seq.

$$( \text{area}(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow \inf \{ \text{area}(\Sigma) \mid \partial \Sigma = \Gamma \} )$$

Then

$$\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma \quad (\text{w.r.t. Hausdorff distance})$$

Ex 2 | In  $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$   $d=2$   $n=4$

$$\Gamma = \{ (w^3, w^2) \mid w \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C} \}$$

smooth curve  
with no self-  
intersect.

"The sol. of P.P. is

$$\Sigma := \{ (w^3, w^2) \mid w \in \mathbb{D}^2 \subset \mathbb{C} \}$$

singular at  $(0,0)$

As before, any minimizing seq.  
of oriented surfaces  $\Sigma_n$  of  
class  $e^1$  converge to  $\Sigma$

(in  $d_H$ )

P.P. has no solution of class  $e^1$

Ex 3 |  $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \cong \mathbb{C}^m$

$$m = 2k$$

$$d = 2k$$

$D$  regular domain in  $\mathbb{C}^k$

$U$  open neighb. of  $D$

$f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  holomorphic

$$\Gamma := \{ f(s) \mid s \in \partial D \}$$

$\curvearrowright$   $2k-1$  dimensional surface  
regular if  $f$  is injective  
on  $\partial D$  and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(\nabla f(s)) = k$   
 $\forall s \in \partial D$

"Then the sol. of P.P. is

$$\Sigma := \{ f(s) \mid s \in D \} =$$

$\curvearrowright$   $\Sigma$  is singular at all  
points  $f(s)$  s.t.  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(\nabla f(s)) < k$

Proof Using Kähler form  
+ Wirtinger inequality

Ex 4 |  $u \geq 8, d = n-1$

$$\mathbb{R}^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \quad (n = 2m)$$

$$\Gamma := \{ (x, y) \mid |x| = |y| = 1 \} = S^{m-1} \times S^{m-1}$$

if  $u \geq 4$  ↑ analytic surface of dim  $u-2$

Then the sol. of PP. is the cone

$$\Sigma := \{ (x, y) \mid |x| = |y| \leq 1 \}$$

↑ singular at  $(0,0)$

$\Sigma$  is known as Simon's cone

Proof due to Bombieri-DeGiorgi-Giusti

Simple proof due to DePhilippis-F. Poldi

For  $d = n-1$  (codim. one case)

the singular set of sol. of P.P.  
has codim.  $\geq 7$  at most in the  
surface.

In part. if  $n < 8$  then  
sol of P.P. are analytic

(several authors in 1960s-70s)

For  $2 \leq d \leq n-2$  then  
the singular set has codim.  
at most 2 in the surface

(several authors 1970s-2010s)



Recap. of basic measure theory  
(there are other courses for  
details)

## Classes of measures

0 Outer measures on a set  $X$

$\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- $E \subset E' \Rightarrow \mu(E) \leq \mu(E')$  ← monotonicity
- if  $\{E_i\}$  is countable then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_i E_i\right) \leq \sum_i \mu(E_i)$$

←  $\sigma$ -subadditivity

Easy to construct and provide  
examples for next class.

1] Borel measures on a ~~topological~~ space  $X$   
metric, locally compact, separable  
that is,  $\sigma$ -additive measures  
on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .

### Important remark

I always confine myself to  
Borel sets and Borel funct/maps

NO NEED TO GO TO LARGER  
CLASSES OF SETS // MAPS

2] Signed/vector-valued Borel  
measures on  $X$  as above

# Borel Measures

$\mu$   $\sigma$ -add. measure on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$

with  $X$  metric space

+ locally compact + separable

(ex. open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )  
/ closed

## Notation

$\lambda \ll \mu$  means  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous wrt.  $\mu$

$\lambda \perp \mu$   $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are mutually singular

$M(\mu) = \|\mu\| := \mu(X)$  mass of  $\mu$

given  $f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  Borel meas.

$$f \cdot \mu(E) := \int_E f \, d\mu \quad \|f \cdot \mu\| = \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}$$

$$\mu \llcorner F := \mathbb{1}_F \cdot \mu \quad \text{i.e. } \mu(E) := \mu(E \cap F)$$

## Facts

Theorem If  $\mu$  is (locally) finite  
then  $\mu$  is regular that is  $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$   
$$\mu(E) = \sup \left\{ \mu(K) \mid \begin{array}{l} K \text{ compact} \\ K \subseteq E \end{array} \right\}$$
$$= \inf \left\{ \mu(A) \mid \begin{array}{l} A \text{ open} \\ A \supseteq E \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem (Hahn-Radon-Nikodym-Lieb.)  
 $\lambda, \mu$  finite measures.

Then

o  $\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s$  with  $\lambda_a \ll \mu$   
 $\lambda_s \perp \mu$

o this decomp. is unique,

o  $\lambda_a = f \cdot \mu$  with  $f \in L^1(\mu)$   
 $f \geq 0$

If in addition  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (or the measure  $\mu$  is asymptotically doubling) then for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$

$$f(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(\overline{B(x,r)})}{\mu(\overline{B(x,r)})}$$

$$= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_a(\overline{B(x,r)})}{\mu(\overline{B(x,r)})}$$

and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_s(\overline{B(x,r)})}{\mu(\overline{B(x,r)})} = 0$

$\mu$  asympt. doubling means

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(\overline{B(x,2r)})}{\mu(\overline{B(x,r)})} < +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x$$

Perhaps I will prove this last statem.