

Nonlinear Analysis and Applications

Edited by

V. Lakshmikantham

*University of Texas at Arlington
Arlington, Texas*

MARCEL DEKKER, INC.

New York and Basel

Copyright © 1987 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

NEW RESULTS ON LOCAL EXISTENCE FOR QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

Paolo Acquistapace
 Scuola Normale Superiore
 Pisa, Italy

We look for local existence of continuously differentiable solutions $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$ of quasilinear parabolic systems under nonlinear boundary conditions; as a model we take:

$$(1) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij}(t, x, u, Du) \cdot D_i D_j u = f(t, x, u, Du) & , (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \quad (T > 0), \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x, u) D_i u = g(t, x, u) & , (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set with C^2 boundary. We assume:

$$(2) \text{ Ellipticity: } \sum_{hk=1}^N \sum_{ij=1}^n \operatorname{Re} A_{ij}^{hk}(t, x, u, p) \xi_i \xi_j \eta^h \eta^k \geq \nu |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2 \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall (t, x, u, p) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^{nN}.$$

$$(3) \text{ Non-tangentiality: } \operatorname{Im} b_i(t, x, u) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x, u) \nu_i(x) \neq 0$$

$$\forall (t, x, u) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^N$$

($\nu(x)$ is the unit normal vector at $x \in \partial\Omega$).

$$(4) \text{ Regularity: } A_{ij}^{hk}, f^h, b_i, \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial u^k}, \frac{\partial g^h}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial g^h}{\partial u^k} \text{ are } C^\alpha \text{ in } t, C \text{ in } x,$$

locally Lipschitz in (u, p) ; b_i, g^h are $C^{\alpha+1/2}$ in t ($\alpha \in]0, 1/2[$).

$$(5) \text{ Compatibility: } \phi \in C^1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(0, x, \phi(x)) D_i \phi(x) = g(0, x, \phi(x)) \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega.$$

(For simplicity we write $L^p, C, W^{1,p}$ etc. instead of $L^p(\Omega), C(\bar{\Omega}), W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, etc. provided no confusion arises).

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. Let (2), ..., (5) hold; assume in addition $\phi \in C^2$ and $\psi :=$

$\sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij}(0, \cdot, \phi, D\phi) \cdot D_i D_j \phi + f(0, \cdot, \phi, D\phi) \in C^{2\alpha}$. Then there exists $t_0 \in]0, T[$ such that (1) has a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, t_0] \times \bar{\Omega}) \cap C([0, t_0], \cap_{p < \infty} W^{2,p}(\Omega))$

which satisfies $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij}(\cdot, \cdot, u, Du) D_i D_j u \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C(\bar{\Omega}))$.

Remark 2. Condition $\psi \in C^{2\alpha}$ is also necessary in order that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C)$.

Remark 3. The Hölder exponent α may vary in $]0, 1[-\{1/2\}$ without essential modifications in the proof.

Remark 4. A similar result holds for quasilinear parabolic systems of arbitrary order, with the elliptic part satisfying the assumptions of [2], [5]. See [1] for more details.

Remark 5. The compatibility condition $\psi \in C^{2\alpha}$ may be dropped by replacing in Theorem 1 the space $C^\alpha([0, t_0], C)$ by a suitable weighted Hölder space; the proof is analogous but much more technical.

Remark 6. Previous local existence results for general quasilinear parabolic systems in variational form are in [6], [4].

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the usual method of linearization and use of the contraction principle, with in addition a suitable regularization technique. It consists of four main steps:

Step 1. The linear autonomous case: existence, representation and estimates for solutions in $C^{1+\alpha}([0, T], L^p)$ ($p \in]n, \infty[$).

Step 2. The quasilinear case: local existence in $C^{1+\delta}([0, t_0], L^p)$ ($\delta \in]0, \alpha[$, $p \in]n, \infty[$).

Step 3. The linear non-autonomous case: existence in $C^{1+\alpha}([0, T], C)$ by solving a suitable integral equation.

Step 4. The quasilinear case: conclusion of the proof.

Proof of Step 1: consider the linear problem

$$(6) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \cdot D_i D_j u = f(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}, \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) D_i u = g(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 7. Let $A_{ij} \in C, b_i \in C^1$ satisfy (2), (3). Fix $p \in]n, \infty[$ and assume that $\phi \in W^{2,p}, f \in C^\alpha([0, T], L^p), g \in C^\alpha([0, T], W^{1,p}) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0, T], L^p)$, with the compatibility conditions $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) D_i \phi(x) = g(0, x)$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij} \cdot D_i D_j \phi + f(0, \cdot) \in B_{\infty}^{2\alpha, p}(\Omega)$ (the Besov-Nikolskij space). Then (6) has a unique global solution $u \in C^\alpha([0, T], W^{2,p}) \cap C^{1+\alpha}([0, T], L^p)$; moreover we have:

$$(7) \|u - \phi\|_{C^\alpha(W^{2,p})} + \|u - \phi\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(L^p)} \leq M_p \left\{ \left\| \sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij} \cdot D_i D_j \phi + f(0, \cdot) \right\|_{B_{\infty}^{2\alpha, p}} + \omega_p(T) \left\{ \|f\|_{C^\alpha(L^p)} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha(W^{1,p})} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha+1/2}(L^p)} \right\} \right\},$$

where $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \omega_p(T) = 0$.

Sketch of the proof (a complete proof is in [7]): a representation formula for u is constructed by means of suitable Dunford integrals, whose convergence follows by Agmon's spectral estimate (see [3]); by direct inspection, such formula yields the solutions of (6). Estimate (7) can be derived similarly.

Remark 8. In the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions the datum g has to be taken in $C^\alpha([0, T], W^{2, p}) \cap C^{\alpha+1}([0, T], L^p)$, and some more care is required since Agmon's estimate no more guarantees the convergence of the Dunford integrals involved. However the same result follows by writing $u=g+(u-g)$ and representing $u-g$ as above: see [7] for details. The same applies in the situation of Remark 4 when zero order boundary operators occur (see [1]).

Proof of Step 2 : going back to problem (1), the following result holds:

Proposition 9. Suppose (2), ..., (5) hold. Fix $p \in]n, \infty[$, $\delta \in]0, \alpha[$; let $\phi \in W^{2, p}$ and assume $\psi \in B_\infty^{2\delta, p}$. Then there exists $t_0 \in]0, T[$ (depending on δ, p) such that (1) has a unique solution $u \in C^\delta([0, t_0], W^{2, p}) \cap C^{1+\delta}([0, t_0], L^p)$.

Sketch of the proof: set $E_\delta(T) := C^\delta([0, T], W^{2, p}) \cap C^{1+\delta}([0, T], L^p)$; by interpolation $E_\delta(T) \subset C^{\delta+1/2}([0, T], W^{1, p})$. Consider also for $M > 0$ the ball $B_{M, \delta, T} := \{v \in E_\delta(T) : v(0, \cdot) = \phi, \|v - \phi\|_{E_\delta(T)} \leq M\}$. We linearize problem (1) by taking, for any $v \in B_{M, \delta, T}$, the linear autonomous problem:

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(0, x, \phi, D\phi) \cdot D_i D_j u = f(t, x, v, Dv) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n [A_{ij}(0, x, \phi, D\phi) - A_{ij}(t, x, v, Dv)] \cdot D_i D_j v =: F(t, x), \\ (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}, \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(0, x, \phi) D_i \phi = g(t, x, v) + \sum_{i=1}^n [b_i(0, x, \phi) - b_i(t, x, v)] D_i v \\ =: G(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

By Prop. 7, there exists a unique $u \in E_\delta(T)$ satisfying (8); moreover by (7) we get:

$$(9) \quad \|u - \phi\|_{E_\delta(T)} \leq \frac{M}{p} \|\psi\|_{B_\infty^{2\delta, p}} + C(M) \omega_p(T).$$

Denote by S the map $v \rightarrow u$, by (9) we see that if $M > M_p \| \psi \|_{B_{\infty}^{2\delta, p}}$ and $T =: t_0$ is suitably small, then $S(B_{M, \delta, t_0}) \subseteq B_{M, \delta, t_0}$ and again (7) easily implies that S is a contraction. Its fixed point $u \in B_{M, \delta, t_0}$ then solves (8).

Proof of Step 3: consider the linear non-autonomous problem

$$(10) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(t,x) \cdot D_i D_j u = f(t,x), & (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \bar{\Omega}, \\ u(0,x) = \phi(x), & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(0,x) D_i u = g(t,x), & (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 10. Let $A_{ij} \in C^\alpha([0,T], C)$, $b_i \in C^\alpha([0,T], C^1) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0,T], C)$ be such that (2), (3) hold. Fix $p \in]n, \infty[$ and assume that $\phi \in W^{2,p}$, $f \in C^\alpha([0,T], L^p)$, $g \in C^\alpha([0,T], W^{1,p}) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0,T], L^p)$ with the compatibility conditions

$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i(0,x) D_i \phi(x) = g(0,x)$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\zeta := \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(0, \cdot) \cdot D_i D_j \phi + f(0, \cdot) \in B_{\infty}^{2\alpha, p}$. Then (10) has a unique global solution $u \in C^\alpha([0,T], W^{2,p}) \cap C^{1+\alpha}([0,T], L^p)$. If, moreover,

$\phi \in C^2$, $f \in C^\alpha([0,T], C)$, $g \in C^\alpha([0,T], C^1) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0,T], C)$ and $\zeta \in C^{2\alpha}$, then

we get $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} \cdot D_i D_j u \in C^\alpha([0,T], C)$.

Sketch of the proof (a complete proof is in [1]): Prop. 9 yields a unique local solution $u \in C^\delta([0, t_0], W^{2,p}) \cap C^{1+\delta}([0, t_0], L^p)$ of (10) ($\delta \in]0, \alpha[$). Now for any solution of (10) in some interval $[0, r]$, the following integral equation for $v := \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} \cdot D_i D_j u$ must hold:

$$(11) \quad v(t, \cdot) + \int_0^t K(t,s)v(s, \cdot) ds = L(t, \phi, f, g), \quad t \in [0, r],$$

where $K(t,s)$ is a known integrable kernel and $L(\cdot, \phi, f, g)$ is a known function in $C^\alpha([0, r], L^p)$. As a consequence we get an a priori bound for $\|v\|_{C^\alpha(L^p)}$

which leads to global existence. Next, as $v \in C^\alpha(L^p)$ we see by Schauder's

Theorem that $u \in C^\alpha([0, T], W^{2,p}) \cap C^{1+\alpha}([0, T], L^p)$. Finally, the further regularity on the data implies $L(\cdot, \phi, f, g) \in C^\alpha([0, T], C)$; thus (11) can be solved in $C^\alpha([0, r], C)$ and the conclusion follows.

Proof of Step 4: we go back to problem (1). Fix $\delta \in]0, \alpha[$, $p > 2n/(1/2 - (\alpha - \delta))$; then $\phi \in W^{2,p}$, $\psi \in B_\infty^{2\alpha, p}$. Let u be the local solution of (1) (Prop. 9): by interpolation, we get $u \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C^1) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0, t_0], C)$. Hence we can rewrite (1) as a problem of type (10) with $A_{ij} \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C)$, $b_i \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C^1) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0, t_0], C)$, $\phi \in C^2$, $f \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C)$, $g \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C^1) \cap C^{\alpha+1/2}([0, t_0], C)$. As $\tau \equiv \psi \in C^{2\alpha}$, the last part of Prop. 10 yields $\sum_{ij=1}^n A_{ij}(\cdot, \cdot, u, Du) \cdot D_i D_j u \in C^\alpha([0, t_0], C)$, hence the same is true for $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Acquistapace, B. Terreni, Quasilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems, in preparation.
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions, II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 17 (1964), 35-92.
- [3] H. Amann, Existence and regularity for semilinear parabolic evolution equations, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) 11 (1984) 593-676.
- [4] H. Amann, Quasilinear parabolic systems under nonlinear boundary conditions, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 92 (1986) 153-192.
- [5] G. Geymonat, P. Grisvard, Alcuni risultati di teoria spettrale per i problemi ai limiti lineari ellittici, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 38 (1967) 121-173.
- [6] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, Local existence for quasilinear parabolic systems under nonlinear boundary conditions, preprint fac. Ingegneria Univ. Firenze (1986).
- [7] B. Terreni, Non-homogeneous initial-boundary value problems for linear parabolic systems, preprint Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa (1986).