

The extended S_{m+1} action on $H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$

Let us consider the configuration space

$$C_m(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid \sum x_i = 0, x_i \neq x_j \right\}$$

It can be viewed as the complement of the essential braid arrangement β_m , whose hyperplanes are

$$H_{i,j} = \{ x_i - x_j = 0 \} \text{ in } V = \mathbb{C}^m / \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can identify V with $\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid \sum x_i = 0 \right\}$

After this identification, the hyperplanes of β_m can still be written as $H_{i,j} = \{ x_i - x_j = 0 \}$.

Now we construct an S_m -equivariant map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_m(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ p & \longrightarrow & (\pi(p), \mathcal{Q}^2(p)) \end{array}$$

where $\pi : C_m(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(C_m(\Phi))$ is the standard projection, and $Q = \prod_{l < j} (x_l - x_j)$ is the defining polynomial of the arrangement β_m and S_m acts trivially on Φ^* .

Since $\pi(p) = \pi(q) \Leftrightarrow p = \lambda q$ for $\lambda \in \Phi^*$

$$\text{and } Q^2(q) = Q^2(\lambda q) \Leftrightarrow Q^2(q) = \lambda^{m(m-1)} Q^2(q) \\ \Leftrightarrow \lambda^{m(m-1)} = 1$$

the fibers of γ are the orbits of the action of the cyclic group

$$\Gamma = \left\{ z \in S^1 \mid z^{m(m-1)} = 1 \right\}$$

Proposition The map γ is a covering map. ●

Now we recall the following Transfer Theorem (see for instance Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Prop 36.1) in a general version:

Theorem Let G be a finite group, X a paracompact, Hausdorff, locally euclidean space where G acts, and \mathbb{F} a field with $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ or $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$ but $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \nmid |G|$. Then

$$H^*(X/G, \mathbb{F}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{F})^G$$

In our setting we have:

$$H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C}))^\Gamma \cong H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma) \cong$$

$$\cong H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \times \mathbb{C}^*) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C}))) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{C}^*)$$

KÜNNETH FORMULA

where the cohomology is with complex coefficients.

Now we observe that the action of Γ on $C_m(\mathbb{C})$ is the restriction of the continuous action of S^1 on $C_m(\mathbb{C})$.

Since S^1 is a path-connected topological group, the action of S^1 on $H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C}))$ is trivial (every element g of S^1 acts by a map homotopic to the identity).

Therefore $H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C}))^\Gamma = H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C}))$.

It is easy to check that the map γ is S_m equivariant (where S_m acts in the natural way on $C_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C}))$ and acts trivially on \mathbb{C}^*).

Also the map

$$C_m(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

is S_m equivariant

Therefore :

Theorem A There is an isomorphism of S_m representations

$$H^*(C_m(\Phi)) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\Phi))) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}{(\epsilon^2)}$$

This is the cohomology ring $H^*(\Phi^*)$,
where ϵ has degree 1, and S_m acts trivially.

Our plan is to point out an S_{m+1} action on

$H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\Phi)))$, that restricted to S_m gives the natural action. Using Theorem A we will "lift" the S_{m+1} action to $H^*(C_m(\Phi))$.

The moduli space $M_{0,m+1}$

For $m \geq 2$ consider the configuration space

$$C_{m+1}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})) = \left\{ (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m) \in (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))^{m+1} \mid p_i \neq p_j \right\}$$

Definition The moduli space of $m+1$ pointed curves of genus 0 is

$$M_{0,m+1} = \frac{C_{m+1}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))}{\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})}$$

↑
i.e. the group of projective automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $p = [p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m] \in M_{0,m+1}$. There is a unique projective automorphism $F \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $F(p_0) = (0, 1) = \infty$, $F(p_1) = (1, 0)$, $F(p_2) = (1, 1)$

↑
SIMPLIFIED NOTATION: it should be $[\infty, 1]$

In particular, every point $p \in M_{0,m+1}$ has a UNIQUE representative of this type:

$$p = [p_0, \dots, p_m] = [(0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_{m-1}, y_{m-1})]$$

with $x_i \neq 0 \forall i$, $y_j \neq 0 \forall j$, $x_i \neq y_i \forall i$

and $\frac{y_i}{x_i} \neq \frac{y_j}{x_j} \forall i \neq j$.

Now we choose in V^* the basis

$$d_1 = x_2 - x_1$$

$$d_2 = x_3 - x_1$$

$$d_{m-1} = x_m - x_1$$

and we denote by v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} its dual basis in V .

Notice that if we write an element $q \in C_m(\mathbb{C})$ using this basis we have: $q = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-1})$ with $\gamma_i \neq 0 \forall i$ (otherwise $q \in H_{1,1+1}$) and $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j \forall i \neq j$ (otherwise $q \in H_{1,1+1}$).

We now define the map (using the basis described above)

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow M_{0,m+1}$$

$$[(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-1})] \rightarrow [(0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \dots, (\gamma_1, \gamma_{m-1})]$$

Remark ϕ is well defined since, as remarked above,
 $\gamma_i \neq 0 \forall i$, $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j \forall i \neq j$.

Proposition The map ϕ is bijective.

Proof The map

$$\mathcal{L}: M_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(C_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

$$[(0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (1,x_2), \dots, (1,x_{n-1})] \mapsto [(1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2})]$$

is the inverse of ϕ . \square

Let us now consider S_{n+1} as the group that permutes $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. We identify S_n with the subgroup that fixes 0.

Theorem The map ϕ is S_n equivariant

$$\phi: \mathbb{P}(C_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow M_{0,n+1}$$

\uparrow
natural action of
 S_n

\uparrow
here S_{n+1} acts, and S_n is
identified with the subgroup
that fixes 0.

Proof Let us denote by $\tau_i = (i, i+1)$ the transposition

so S_n is generated by $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$.

It is sufficient to check our claim on $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$.

Let us for instance consider τ_1 .

Let $q = [(1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n-1})] \in \mathbb{P}(C_n(\mathbb{F}))$. Recall that $(1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n-1})$

is written with respect to the basis v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} and represents

a point $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V$ such that $a_2 - a_1 = 1$

$$a_3 - a_1 = \delta_2$$

$$a_n - a_1 = \delta_{n-1}$$


Since $\tau_1(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_2, a_1, \dots, a_n)$, this vector with

respect to the basis v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} is $(-1, \delta_2 - 1, \dots, \delta_{n-1} - 1)$

Therefore $\tau_1 q = \tau_1 [(1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n-1})] = [(1, 1 - \delta_2, \dots, 1 - \delta_{n-1})]$

On the other hand, let us focus on the action of τ_1

on $\phi(q) = [(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, \delta_2), \dots, (1, \delta_{n-1})]$.


 τ_1 permutes

$\tau_1 \phi(q) = [(0, 1), (1, 1), (1, 0), (1, \delta_2), \dots, (1, \delta_{n-1})]$

To put this in canonical form we act by the

projectivity $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. the projectivity that sends $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, $(1,1) \rightarrow (1,0)$, $(1,0) \rightarrow (1,1)$.

So $\tau_1 \phi(q) = [(0,1), (1,0), (1,1), (1,1-\delta_2), \dots, (1,1-\delta_{m-1})]$

And the two actions of τ_1 agree.

Similarly one checks that $\forall i$ the actions of τ_i agree \square

One observes that ϕ is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{A})) \cong M_{0,m+1}$$

↑
here S_{m+1} acts

From this isomorphism one has a S_{m+1} action on $\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{A}))$ and therefore on $H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{A})))$.

Remark 1 Let $q = [(1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{m-1})] \in \mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{A}))$.

Using ϕ one can compute

$$s_0 q = [(1, \delta_2^{-1}, \dots, \delta_{m-1}^{-1})] \quad \bullet \quad (\text{EXERCISE!})$$

Remark 2

In the end, via Theorem A, we have a S_{m+1} action on $H^*(C_m(\Phi))$. One can prove (Mathieu '96) that this action does not come from an S_{m+1} action on the space $C_m(\Phi)$.

Some references

G - The actions of S_{m+1} and S_m on the cohomology ring of a Coxeter arrangement of type A_{m-1} , *Manuscripta Mathematica* '96

Mathieu, O., Hidden S_{m+1} actions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '96