

Computation of the representation of S_m on the algebra A_m .

We know that on A_m there is a natural S_m -action (where S_m permutes the indices $1, 2, \dots, m$) and an extended S_{m+1} action.

NOTATION

$$A_m = A(\beta_m)$$

the Arnold algebra
(= Orlik-Selmon
algebra for β_m)

Let $S_m = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$ where $\tau_i = (i, i+1)$

↑

transposition

and $S_{m+1} = \langle \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$

↑

transposition $\tau_0 = (0, 1)$.

The extended S_{m+1} action, restricted to $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$ coincides with the natural S_m action.

OUR GOAL We want to understand the decomposition of A_m into irreducible representations.

We also would like to have some information on the decomposition of A_m^k , the part of A_m of degree k , for every k .

CAUTION: the last problem is still open for general m and k .

Example

$$A_2^0 = \langle 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \square \quad \text{as } S_2 \text{ representation}$$

$$A_2^1 = \langle a_{12} \rangle = \square \quad \cong S_2 \text{ representation}$$

Let us first observe that

$$A_m^k = A_{m-1}^k \oplus A_{m-1}^{k-1} \cdot N \quad \text{(orange star symbol)}$$

$$\text{where } N = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{C} a_{sm}.$$

This easily follows since we know a basis for A_m^k .

The ISOMORPHISM (orange star symbol) is an ISO of $\langle \tau_1, \tau_{m-2} \rangle \cong S_{m-1}$ representations.

Let us consider $k=1$:

$$A_m^1 = A_{m-1}^1 \oplus N$$

We observe that A_{m-1}^1 is a $\langle \tau_0, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ module since on A_{m-1} there is the extended S_m action.

We can now take a $\langle \tau_0, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ complement T to A_{m-1}^1 :

$$A_m^1 = A_{m-1}^1 \oplus T$$

Now, what is the decomposition of T as $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ module?

We notice that T and N are ISOMORPHIC as $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ modules, since

$A_{m-1}^1 \oplus T \cong A_{m-1}^1 \oplus N$ is an ISOMORPHISM of $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ modules.

Now $N = \langle a_{1m}, a_{2m}, \dots, a_{m-1m} \rangle$

that, since $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ permutes the indices $1, \dots, m-1$, is isomorphic to the natural representation of S_{m-1} on \mathbb{C}^{m-1} .

Therefore $T \cong N \cong \overbrace{\square \square \square}^{m-1} \oplus \overbrace{\square \square \square}^{m-2}$ as S_{m-1} rep.

By Pieri's rule we know that there is only one representation of $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ that, restricted to $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ gives $\overbrace{\square \square \square}^{m-1} \oplus \overbrace{\square \square \square}^{m-2}$, that is to say, $\overbrace{\square \square \square}^{m-1}$ the standard representation of S_m .

This proves that $T \cong \overbrace{\mathbb{F}}^{n-1} \cong \langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle_{\text{rep}}$.

Let us go back to A_m^k . We will prove that

Theorem $A_m^k \cong A_{m-1}^k \oplus A_{m-1}^{k-1} \cdot T$ as $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle_{\text{rep}}$

Proof For every i we write

$$a_{i,m} = \gamma_i^1 + \gamma_i^2 \quad \text{where } \gamma_i^1 \in A_{m-1}^1, \gamma_i^2 \in T$$

Let $z \in A_m^k$. Then $z = z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m z_j \omega_{j,m}$

$$\text{since } A_m^k = \underbrace{A_{m-1}^k}_{z_0} \oplus \underbrace{A_{m-1}^{k-1}}_{z_j} \cdot \mathcal{N}$$

$$\text{Therefore } z = \underbrace{z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m z_j \gamma_j^1}_{\in A_{m-1}^k} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m z_j \gamma_j^2}_{\in A_{m-1}^{k-1} \cdot T}$$

This proves

$$A_m^k = A_{m-1}^k \oplus A_{m-1}^{k-1} \cdot T$$

(an easy dimensional argument shows that the sum is direct). \square

Theorem A (Mathieu '96, 6.)

Let us consider A_m^k as a $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$ representation (this is the natural S_m representation). Moreover, let us consider A_{m-1}^k, A_{m-1}^{k-1} as $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ representations (this is the extended S_m representation). Let us consider T as $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ representation. Then

$$A_m^k \cong A_{m-1}^k \oplus \left(A_{m-1}^{k-1} \otimes T \right)$$

\uparrow natural \uparrow extended \uparrow extended.

as S_m representations.

Proof Recall that A_m^k is a $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle \cong S_{m+1}$ representation. We consider the two subgroups of S_{m+1} $H = \langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-2} \rangle$ and $K = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$.

Since they are conjugate in $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle$ the representation $\text{Res}_{\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle}^{\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle} A_m^k$ and $\text{Res}_K^{\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{m-1} \rangle} A_m^k$ are isomorphic.

WHY? Think of their characters... they coincide since we are considering traces of conjugate operators.

Now the claim follows from the preceding theorem. \square

Let us write a table:

	degree			
	0	1	2	3
A_2				
A_3				
A_4				

\otimes According to Pieri's rule, there are two possibilities here:

$$\text{Young diagram 1} + \text{Young diagram 2} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Young diagram 3}$$

By the geometric construction of the extended action we know that

$$H^*(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \cong H^*(\mathbb{P}(C_m(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]}_{(\epsilon^2)})$$

as S_{m+1} modules, so, informally:

\rightarrow every irreducible representation appears together with another copy shifted in degree +1. So the right choice is

Remark.

To fill the table, we also used the computation

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$$

that follows from the exercise

$$U \otimes \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \text{trivial} \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \left(\text{Res}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} U \right)$$

that is to say

$$U \otimes \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \text{Res}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} U$$

Exercise Fill the row " A_5 " in the table.

Let us now deal with the full representation A_m (i.e., we consider all the degrees together).

From Theorem A we have the isomorphism of S_m modules

$$A_m \cong A_{m-1} \oplus \left(A_{m-1} \otimes \text{[rectangle]} \right)$$

\uparrow natural S_m action \uparrow extended S_m action \uparrow

This can be rewritten as

$$A_m \cong A_{m-1} \otimes \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \text{[rectangle]}$$

\uparrow natural S_m action \uparrow extended S_m action

Using the exercise $U \otimes \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \text{[rectangle]} \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \left(\text{Res}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} U \right)$

we have

$$A_m \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \left(\text{Res}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} A_{m-1} \right) \cong \text{Ind}_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} A_{m-1}$$

this is the natural S_{m-1} action on A_{m-1}

Inductively we find $A_m \cong \text{Ind}_{S_2}^{S_m} A_2$.

This, since $A_2 \cong 2 \square$ as S_2 representation,
gives a quick proof of the following result of Lehrer:

Theorem (Lehrer 1987)

$$A_m \cong 2 \operatorname{Ind}_{S_2}^{S_m} \square$$

Remark A generalization is provided by the algebra

$$H^*(C_m(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{C})$$

where $C_m(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m \mid v_i \neq v_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}$

The case $d=2$ (in fact d even) gives A_m .

The case d odd has been studied by several authors
(F. Cohen, Lehrer, Mathieu) and can also be studied using
the same methods illustrated above (extended S_{m+1} action).