#### Analytic Solutions to Nonlocal Abstract Equations.

GHISI MARINA

Sunto. – Si considera il problema dell'esistenza di soluzioni globali analitiche per equazioni astratte, in spazi di Hilbert, di tipo Klein-Gordon corrette con termini non locali, del tipo:

$$u'' + m(||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) Au + n(||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) u = 0.$$

In particolare si individuano classi di condizioni sulle funzioni m ed n (sia in presenza che in assenza di energie conservate) che garantiscono l'esistenza di tali soluzioni.

Summary. — In this paper we study the problem of existence of global solutions for some classes of abstract equations, that generalize some type of Klein-Gordon equations, with nonlinear nonlocal terms of Kirchhoff type. We find some conditions that guarantee the existence of such solutions whether in presence or in absence of a conserved energy.

#### 1. - Introduction.

Let V be an Hilbert space, which is imbedded in this antidual space V' by a symmetric continuous compact map, and let H be the Hilbert completion of V with respect to the product  $(u, v)_H = \langle u, v \rangle$ , where  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is the antiduality between V' and V.

Let  $A: V \rightarrow V'$  be a symmetric positive definite isomorphism, i.e.

$$(1.1) \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle Av, u \rangle \text{ and } \langle Au, u \rangle \ge c ||u||_V^2 \text{ with } c > 0.$$

In this framework, we consider the following abstract Cauchy problem:

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} u'' + m(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) Au + n(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) u = 0 \\ u(0) = u_{0} \in V, u'(0) = u_{1} \in V \end{cases}$$

while  $m, n:[0, +\infty[\times[0, +\infty[\to R]]])$  are continuous functions and:

$$m(r,s) \ge 0$$
 on  $[0, +\infty[\times[0, +\infty[$ .

Since the operator A is symmetric and coercive, and m is nonnegative, equation in (1.2) is of weakly hyperbolic type.

In the case n=0 and m(r,s)=m(s), a concrete version of (1.2) is the Kirchhoff equation (introduced by [8]):

(1.3) 
$$u_{tt} - m \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u = 0 \quad x \in \Omega$$

where  $\Omega = [0, 2\pi]^h$  (and we look for solutions u which are  $2\pi$ -periodic functions in the space variables). The problem of existence of local-global solutions for (1.3) has been studied by a lot of authors (both in Sobolev spaces and in the analytic case); we refer to [1] and [10] for a complete bibliography. Only we recall some authors who studied the problem of analytic global solutions.

Bernstein [3] proved that equation (1.3) with analytic periodic data has a global solution in one space dimension, assuming that

(1.4) 
$$m$$
 Lipschitz continuous and  $m \ge v > 0$ .

Pohozaev [9] extended this result to several space dimensions. Later on Arosio & Spagnolo [2] relaxed hypothesis (1.4) by assuming merely that m is continuous and:

(1.5) 
$$m$$
 is bounded or  $\int_0^+ m(s) ds = +\infty$ .

Condition (1.5) was later removed by D'Ancona & Spagnolo [5]-[6], indeed they supposed only m continuous and  $m \ge 0$ . We remark that in [6] it was considered the abstract generalization of (1.3), i.e.  $u'' + m(\langle Au, u \rangle) Au = 0$ . Later on in [7] it was proved the existence of global in time, periodic in x, analytic solutions for some system of the form:

(1.6) 
$$U_t = \sum_{i=1}^h B_i(||u_1||^2, ..., ||u_m||^2) U_{x_i}$$

where  $U=(u_1,\ldots,u_m)$ , matrices  $B_i$  are continuous,  $\sum_{i=1}^h B_i(r_1,\ldots,r_m) \, \xi_i$  has real eigenvalues for all  $\xi=(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_h) \in \mathbb{R}^h \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\|f\|$  denote the  $L^2$ -norm. Moreover they assumed that:

THEOREM 1. - The matrices  $B_i(r_1, ..., r_m)$  are bounded.

or

Theorem 2. – System (1.6) has a conserved coercive energy, i.e. there exists some function  $L(r_1, ..., r_m)$  (with  $r_1, ..., r_m \ge 0$ ) such that if U =

 $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$  is a solution of (1.6) then

$$(1.7) L(\|u_1(t)\|^2, \ldots, \|u_m(t)\|^2) = L(\|u_1(0)\|^2, \ldots, \|u_m(0)\|^2).$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{r_1+\ldots+r_m\to+\infty}L(r_1,\ldots,r_m)=+\infty.$$

or

THEOREM 3. – System (1.6) is  $2 \times 2$  in one space variable, with a conserved energy (see (1.7)). Moreover, denoted by  $\phi_{i,j}$  i, j = 1, 2 the coefficients of the matrix B, one has:

- $\bullet \phi_{1,2}, \phi_{2,1} \ge 0$
- $|\phi_{2,1}(r,s)| \leq \Lambda(r)$  ( $\Lambda$  continuous function)
- $\inf_{s>0} L(r,s) \to +\infty$  as  $r \to +\infty$
- $|\phi_{1,1}(r,s) \phi_{2,2}(r,s)|^2 \le C\phi_{1,2}(r,s)$  for some constant C.

By following [7], the purpose of this paper is to study the problem of existence of A-analytic solutions (see Definition 2.1) for (1.2). We observe that, in contrast with the cases considered in the literature, in our situation we have not necessarily a positive conserved energy and the functions m and n in (1.2) in general are not bounded.

We remark that (1.2) is an abstract equation modeling the Klein-Gordon nonlocal equation:

(1.8) 
$$u_{tt} - m(||u||^2, ||\nabla u||^2) \Delta u + n(||u||^2, ||\nabla u||^2) u = 0.$$

In fact we treat (1.2) if there exists a conserved energy (see Theorem 3.1-3.3) or a *semi*-conserved energy (see Theorem 3.5). In particular we prove the global well-posedness in the class of analytic  $2\pi$ -periodic functions for the Cauchy problem to (see example 3.7):

$$u_{tt} - m(\|\nabla u\|^2) \Delta u + n(\|u\|^2) u = 0$$

where  $m \ge 0$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty} n(s) ds \in \mathbb{R}$ . Another equation to which our results apply is (see example 3.11):

$$u_{tt} - \|\nabla u\|^4 \Delta u + \|\nabla u\|^2 u = 0$$
.

In Section 2 we give some definitions and a result of extension of solutions of the linear equation  $u'' + m(t) \Delta u + n(t) u = 0$ .

In Section 3 we state the main results and give some applications.

In Section 4 we give the proofs.

## 2. - Preliminaries-Linear case.

#### 2.1. Preliminaries.

Let V, H, V', A be as in the Introduction. We give the following (see [9]):

DEFINITION 2.1. – A vector  $v \in V$  is called A-analytic if there exist constants K. A such that:

$$A^j v \in V$$
 and  $|\langle A^j v, v \rangle|^{1/2} \leq K A^j j!$  for each  $j = 0, 1, ...$ 

In the following we denote the class of A-analytic vectors by A.

Since the embedding  $V \hookrightarrow V'$  is compact, the Hilbert space H has a orthonormal basis  $(v_k) \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  such that for each k = 1, 2, ...

(2.1) 
$$Av_k = \lambda_k^2 v_k$$
,  $\lambda_k > 0$  and  $\lambda_k \to +\infty$  as  $k \to +\infty$ .

Let us remark that we can assume that  $(\lambda_k)$  is a nondecreasing sequence. Now let us give the following (see [2], Proposition 1)

PROPOSITION 2.2. – A vector  $u = \sum_k u_k v_k$  is in A if and only if there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$\sum_{k} |u_k|^2 e^{\delta \lambda_k} < + \infty.$$

At this point we recall some examples of A-analytic vectors, when  $A=-\varDelta$  (see

Let  $H^1_{\alpha\text{-per}}(\mathbb{R}^h)$  be the space of the functions  $u\in H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^h)$ ,  $\alpha$ -periodic in each [2], p. 3). variable  $(\alpha > 0)$ .

- 1. Let us set  $V = H^1_{\alpha\text{-per}}(\mathbb{R}^h)$ , and  $V' = H^1_{\alpha\text{-per}}(\mathbb{R}^h)$ ; then  $A: V \to V'$  and if  $u \in V$  is analytic, then it is A-analytic.
- 2. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^h$  be a bounded open subset. Let us set  $V = H^1_0(\Omega)$  and V' = $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , then  $A:V{
  ightarrow}V'$  . Moreover if u is analytic in some neighborhood of  $\Omega$ and

$$\Delta^k u = 0$$
 on  $\partial \Omega$  for each  $k = 0, 1...$ 

then  $u \in V$  and u is A-analytic.

### 22. Linear equation.

Let us consider the Cauchy problem

(2.2) 
$$\begin{cases} u'' + m(t) Au + n(t) u = 0 \\ u_0, u_1 \in A \end{cases}$$

where the coefficients m, n satisfy the following conditions:

(2.3) 
$$m \ge 0$$
,  $\int_0^T m(s) ds < +\infty$ ,  $\int_0^T |n(s)| ds < +\infty$ .

The following lemma is proved by using the method of perturbed energy of infinite order, firstly introduced by [4] and already used by [2], [6], [7]. For the convenience of the reader we sketch the proof.

ANALYTIC SOLUTIONS TO NONLOCAL ABSTRACT EQUATIONS

LEMMA 2.3. - Let us suppose that m, n satisfy (2.3) and let  $u \in$  $C^2([0, T], V)$  be a solution of (2.2).

Then u and u' can be extended as A-analytic functions on [0, T].

PROOF. – Let  $\varrho_{\varepsilon}(t)$  be a family of Friedrics mollifiers and let us define the positive function:

$$m_{\varepsilon}(t) = \widetilde{m} * \varrho_{\varepsilon}(t) + \varepsilon + \|\widetilde{m} * \varrho_{\varepsilon} - m\|_{L^{1}(0, T)}$$

where  $\widetilde{m}$  denote the hull extension of m on the whole real axis R. We have (see [2]):

(2.4) 
$$\left\| \frac{m_{\varepsilon} - m}{\sqrt{m_{\varepsilon}}} \right\|_{L^{1}(0, T)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Now let us denote, by using the Fourier's expansion, the considered solution of (2.2) by  $u(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(t) v_k$ , then  $u_k$  satisfies the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_k'' + m(t) \lambda_k^2 u_k + n(t) u_k = 0 \\ u_k(0) = u_{0,k}, \quad u_k'(0) = u_{1,k}, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} u_{0,k} v_k$  and  $u_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} u_{1,k} v_k$ . If we define

$$E_{\varepsilon,k}(t) = |u_k'(t)|^2 + m_{\varepsilon}(t) |\lambda_k u_k|^2,$$

we find easily:

$$\begin{split} E_{\varepsilon,k}' & \leq \left| \frac{m_{\varepsilon} - m}{\sqrt{m_{\varepsilon}}} \right| \lambda_{k} E_{\varepsilon,k} + \left| \frac{m_{\varepsilon}'}{m_{\varepsilon}} \right| E_{\varepsilon,k} + |n| |u_{k}| |u_{k}'| \\ & \leq \left( \left| \frac{m_{\varepsilon} - m}{\sqrt{m_{\varepsilon}}} \right| \lambda_{k} + C_{\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{|n|}{\lambda_{k}} \right) \right) E_{\varepsilon,k}. \end{split}$$

Hence, by (2.1)-(2.3), we obtain:

$$E_{\varepsilon,k}(t) \leq C_{\varepsilon,T} E_{\varepsilon,k}(0) \exp\left(\lambda_k \int_0^T \left| \frac{m_{\varepsilon}(s) - m(s)}{\sqrt{m_{\varepsilon}(s)}} \right| ds\right).$$

Let  $\delta$  (see Proposition 2.2) be such that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{i\lambda_k} (|u_{1,k}|^2 + |\lambda_k u_{0,k}|^2) < +\infty,$$

then, by (2.4) there exists  $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} E_{\overline{z},k}(t) e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta\lambda_k} \leqslant K_{\overline{z},T} \sum_k e^{\delta\lambda_k} E_{z,k}(0) < +\infty.$$

Therefore as in [2] u and u' can by extended as A-analytic functions on [0, T].

# 3. - Results-Applications.

## 3.1. Principal results.

Let  $L:[0, +\infty[ \to [0, +\infty[$  be a continuous function. We say L admissible function if for all  $y_0 \ge 0$  the greatest solution of

$$\begin{cases} y' = L(y) \\ y(0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

is bounded from above on the bounded subsets of  $[0, +\infty[$ . In the following we call conserved energy for (1.2) a continuous function E(w, r, s) = w + M(r, s) defined for  $w, r, s \ge 0$  such that for all solution  $u \in$  $C^{2}([0, T[, V) \text{ of } (1.2):$ 

$$T(t, V) \text{ of } (1.2):$$

$$E(\|u'\|_{H}^{2}(t), \|u\|_{H}^{2}(t), \langle Au, u \rangle(t)) = E(\|u_{1}\|_{H}^{2}, \|u_{0}\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au_{0}, u_{0} \rangle).$$

Let us recall that we indicate by c the constant in (1.1).

At this point we can state:

THEOREM 3.1. – Let us suppose that the initial data  $u_0$ ,  $u_1 \in A$  and that at least one of the following is verified:

- 1. the functions m, n are bounded;
- 2. E is a conserved energy for (1.2), moreover:

(a)  $M(r, s) = M_0(r, s) + K(r)$ , with  $K \le 0$  and

$$\inf_{\tau,\,s\,\geqslant\,0,\,\tau\,\leqslant\,(1/c)\,s}M_0(\tau,\,s)\in R\;;$$

(b) for all  $\beta \ge 0$ , the function  $L(y) = y + \beta - K(y)$  is an admissible

(c) for each 
$$I = [0, z] \subseteq [0, +\infty[$$

(3.2) 
$$\lim_{w+s\to+\infty} \min_{r\in I, r\leqslant (1/c)s} E(w, r, s) = +\infty.$$

Then problem (1.2) has a global A-analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following:

COROLLARY 3.2. – Let us suppose that E is a conserved energy for (1.2)and:

$$\lim_{r+w+s\to +\infty} E(w, r, s) = +\infty.$$

Then problem (1.2) has a global A-analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$  if  $u_0, u_1 \in A$ .

Let us remark that the result of [6] is not contained in the previous theorem, since in that case there exists a conserved energy, but not verifies necessary (3.2). Now we give a generalization of such result.

THEOREM 3.3. – Let us suppose that E is a conserved energy for (1.2) such that  $M(r, s) = M_0(r, s) + K(r)$ , with  $K \leq 0$  and:

(3.3) 
$$\inf_{r,\,s\,\geq\,0,\,r\,\leqslant\,(1/c)\,s} M_0(r,\,s)\in R\;.$$

Moreover let us assume that for all  $\beta \ge 0$ , the function  $L(y) = y + \beta - K(y)$ is an admissible function and that for some continuous function w and  $r \leq c^{-1}s$ :

$$|n(r,s)| \leq \psi(r,M(r,s)).$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.2) with  $u_0, u_1 \in A$  has a global A-analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)]$ .

Let us observe that in case of a completely general M we can not assure the existence of a global analytic solution. In fact we have:

EXAMPLE 3.4. – Let  $V = H_{2\pi\text{-per}}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $V' = H_{2\pi\text{-per}}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $||w||^2 = \int_{0}^{2\pi} w(x)^2 dx$  and 188  $A=-\Delta$ . Then there exist some  $u_0,\,u_1\!\in\!A$  such that the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \frac{1}{1 + ||\nabla u||^4} \Delta u - ||u||^4 u = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, u_t(0, x) = u_1, \end{cases}$$

has not a global analytic solution.

Let us point out that in the case of Example 3.4 the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1-3.3 are not verified. Indeed if E is a conserved energy, then

t verified. Hiddes
$$E(w, r, s) = \frac{1}{2}(w + \arctan s) - \frac{r^3}{3} + \text{constant}.$$

Therefore, if we want satisfy (3.1) (resp (3.3)) then must be  $K(r) \leq -\frac{r^3}{3}$  for large r, then L is not an admissible function.

Let us consider now the case in which do not exists a conserved

Let  $E(w, r, s) = w + M(r, s), w, r, s \ge 0$  be a continuous function. We call E semi-conserved energy for (1.2) if there exists a continuous function  $n_0(r, s)$ such that, if  $u \in C^2([0, T], V)$  is a solution of (1.2) then

if 
$$u \in C^{2}([0, 1], V)$$
 is  $\frac{d}{dt} E(||u'||_{H}^{2}, ||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) = n_{0}(||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_{H}^{2}.$ 

We can therefore state:

THEOREM 3.5. – Let us suppose that E is a semi-conserved energy for (1.2)with  $M(r, s) \ge 0$ . Moreover let us suppose that:

- 1.  $n_0^2(r, s)r \le K(M(r, s))$ , for  $r \le c^{-1}s$ , where K is a nondecreasing function, and L(y) = y + K(y) is an admissible function.
  - 2. At least one of the following conditions is verified:
    - (a) for each  $I = [0, z] \subseteq [0, +\infty[$

(a) for each 
$$I = [0, \pi]$$
 inf  $M(r, s) = +\infty$ ;  
(3.5) 
$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \inf_{r \in I, r \in \{1/c\}} M(r, s) = +\infty;$$

(b) for some continuous function  $\gamma$  and  $r \le c^{-1}s$ :

(3.6) for some constant 
$$|n(r,s)| \leq \gamma(r,M(r,s))$$

(c) for some continuous functions  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$  with  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \phi(s) = +\infty$ :

(3.7) 
$$|n_0(r,s)| \phi(s) \leq \chi(r, M(r,s)) (r \leq c^{-1}s)$$

and for some continuous function  $\gamma(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ , nondecreasing in each varia-

(3.8) 
$$|n(r,s)| \leq \gamma(r, M(r,s), n_0(r,s)) \ (r \leq c^{-1}s).$$

Then Problem (1.2) has a global A-analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$  as soon as  $u_0, u_1 \in A$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 is the following:

COROLLARY 3.6. — Let us suppose that E is a semi-conserved energy for (1.2) such that

$$n_0^2(r,s)r \leq c_1 + c_2 M(r,s)$$
 and  $\lim_{\tau+s\to+\infty} M(r,s) = +\infty$ .

Then Problem (1.2) has a global A-analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$  as soon as  $u_0, u_1 \in A$ .

3.2. Applications.

Now we get some examples in which we can apply Theorem 3.1-3.5. In these examples, we assume  $V = H_{a\text{-per}}^1(\mathbb{R}^h)$ ,  $V' = H_{a\text{-per}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^h)$ , and  $A = -\Delta$ . Moreover, in all the considered case, we suppose that the initial data  $u_0, u_1 \in V$  are A-analytic, and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the usual  $L^2$  norm.

Example 3.7. – Let us suppose that  $m, n:[0, +\infty[\rightarrow R]$  are continuous functions and that:

(3.9) 
$$m \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{r \ge 0} \int_0^r n(\sigma) \, d\sigma \in \mathbb{R} .$$

Then the Cauchy problem

(3.10) 
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - m(\|\nabla u\|^2) \Delta u + n(\|u\|^2) u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0, u_t(0, x) = u_1 \end{cases}$$

has a global analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$ .

Example 3.8. – Let  $c_0$  be a constant for which (1.1) is verified. Then the Cauchy problem:

(3.11) 
$$\begin{cases} u_{u} - \|\nabla u\|^{2} \Delta u - (c_{0}^{2} \|u\|^{2} + 1) u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_{0}, u_{t}(0, x) = u_{1} \end{cases}$$

has a global analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V).$ 

EXAMPLE 3.9. - The Cauchy problem:

(3.12) 
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{1 + \|u\|^4} \Delta u - \frac{\|\nabla u\|^4 \|u\|^2}{(1 + \|u\|^4)^2} u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0, u_t(0, x) = u_1 \end{cases}$$

has a global analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$ .

EXAMPLE 3.10. - The Cauchy problem:

(3.13) 
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \frac{\|u\|^2}{1 + \|\nabla u\|^2} \Delta u + \arctan(\|\nabla u\|^2) \ u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \ u_t(0, x) = u_1 \end{cases}$$

has a global analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$ .

EXAMPLE 3.11. - The Cauchy problem:

(3.14) 
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \|\nabla u\|^4 \Delta u + \|\nabla u\|^2 u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0, u_t(0, x) = u_1 \end{cases}$$

has a global analytic solution  $u \in C^2([0, +\infty[, V)])$ .

#### 4. - Proofs.

We fix a notation that we use in the following proofs, i.e.:

We fix a notation that we use in the variable 
$$m_v(t):=m(\|v(t)\|_H^2,\langle Av(t),v(t)\rangle)$$
,  $n_v(t):=n(\|v(t)\|_H^2,\langle Av(t),v(t)\rangle)$ .

Firstly we prove:

Lemma 4.1. - For every  $u_0, u_1 \in A$  there exists a time  $T = T(u_0, u_1)$  such that problem (1.2) has a solution  $u \in C^2([0, T], V)$  with  $Au \in C^0([0, T], V)$ . Moreover u, u' are A-analytic.

PROOF. - (we follow the outline of [2])

Let  $V_h$  be the linear space spanned by  $v_1, ..., v_h$  (the first h-eigenvectors) and let  $P_h: H \rightarrow V_h$  be defined by:

$$P_h u := \sum_{k=1}^h (u, v_k)_H v_k.$$

Let us consider the Cauchy problem in  $V_h$ :

$$(CP_h) \begin{cases} u_h'' + m(\|u_h\|_H^2, \langle Au_h, u_h \rangle) A u_h + n(\|u_h\|_H^2, \langle Au_h, u_h \rangle) u_h = 0 \\ u_h(0) = P_h u_0, u_h'(0) = P_h u_1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $V_h$  is finite dimensional, by the Peano's Theorem, problem  $(CP_h)$  has a local solution, which can be extended to a maximal solution  $u_h:[0, T_h[ \to V_h]]$ Now let us prove that  $T_h \ge T > 0$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If we set  $y_k(t) := (u_k(t), v_k)_H$ , then we can define:

$$e_k(u_k, t) := \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_k^2 |y_k(t)|^2 + |y_k(t)|^2 + |y_k'(t)|^2).$$

It is easy to prove that:

$$\begin{aligned} e_k(u_h, t) &\leq e_k(u_h, 0) \exp\left(\int_0^t \lambda_k |1 - m_{u_h}(s)| ds + \int_0^t |1 - n_{u_h}(s)| ds\right) \\ &=: e_k(u_h, 0) \gamma_k(t), \end{aligned}$$

therefore one has

(4.1) 
$$||u_h||_H^2 + \langle Au_h, u_h \rangle \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^h e_k(u_h, 0) \gamma_k(t).$$

On the other part, by the A-analyticity of  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$  (see Proposition 2.2), there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that:

(4.2) 
$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e_k(u_k, 0) e^{2\delta \lambda_k} < C^{-1}\beta,$$

where we have set, for  $C := e^{\delta}$ 

$$\beta := 1 + C \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{2\delta \lambda_k} (|(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 \lambda_k^2 + |(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 + |(u_1, v_k)_H|^2).$$

Now let us define:

$$T := \left(1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant \tau, s \leqslant \beta} \left|1 - m(r,s)\right| + \sup_{0 \leqslant \tau, s \leqslant \beta} \left|1 - n(r,s)\right|\right)^{-1} \delta.$$

Let us prove that 
$$||u_h||_H^2$$
,  $\langle Au_h, u_h \rangle \leq \beta$  on  $[0, T]$ .

(4.3)

Let us set

t
$$T_h^* = \sup \left\{ t \in [0, T_h[: \|u_h\|_H^2, \langle Au_h, u_h \rangle \leq \beta \text{ on } [0, t] \right\}.$$

We shall prove that  $T_h^* > T$ . Let us suppose by contradiction that  $T_h^* \leq T$ . In this case, by the definition of T:

$$\int_{0}^{T_{h}^{s}} \left| 1 - m_{u_{h}}(s) \right| ds + \int_{0}^{T_{h}^{s}} \left| 1 - n_{u_{h}}(s) \right| ds \leq \delta.$$

Now let us observe also that  $T_h^* = T_h$  is not admissible (since in this situation  $m_{u_h}$  and  $n_{u_h}$  are bounded and then the solution, using Lemma 2.3, can be extended on  $[0, T_h]$ ), then must be  $T_h^* < T_h$ . Therefore, by (4.1)-(4.2):

on 
$$[0, T_h]$$
, then must be  $T_h = T_h$  on  $[0, T_h]$ , then must be  $T_h = T_h$  on  $[0, T_h]$ , then must be  $T_h = T_h$  on  $[0, T_h]$ , then must be  $T_h = T_h$  on  $[0, T_h]$ , then must be  $T_h = T_h$  on  $[0, T_h]$ , then must be  $T_h = T_h$ .

whereas, by the definition of  $T_h^*$  one obtains

$$\|u_h\|_{H}^{2}(T_h^*)+\langle Au_h(T_h^*), u_h(T_h^*)\rangle \geq \beta.$$

Hence we have a contradiction. So we have achieved (4.3).

Therefore on [0, T] we obtain:

we have a continuous continuous matter as 
$$v_k = v_k = v_k$$

hence, for some d > 0:

hence, for some 
$$d > 0$$
:
$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k^8 e_k(u_h, t) \le d \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{2i\lambda_k} (|(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 \lambda_k^2 + |(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 + |(u_1, v_k)_H|^2).$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k^8 e_k(u_h, t) \le d \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{2i\lambda_k} (|(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 \lambda_k^2 + |(u_0, v_k)_H|^2 + |(u_1, v_k)_H|^2).$$
ond  $(A^{5/2}u_h)$  are bounded in  $C^0([0, T], V)$ .

By this, the sequences  $(A^2u_h')$  and  $(A^{5/2}u_h)$  are bounded in  $C^0([0, T], V)$ . Now the compactness of  $A^{-1}:V\to V$  and Ascoli's Theorem ensure that there exists a subsequence  $(u_{h_k})$  and a function u such that  $Au \in C^0([0, T], V)$ and  $Au_{h_k} \to Au$ ,  $u_{h_k} \to u$ ,  $u'_{h_k} \to u'$  in  $C^0([0, T], V)$ . Therefore, by letting  $k \to +\infty$ in  $(CP_{h_k})$  we see that  $u_{h_k}^{n_k} \to u^n$  in  $C^0([0, T], V)$ , u solves problem (1.2) and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{\delta \lambda_k} e_k(u,t) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} C e^{2\delta \lambda_k} e_k(u,0) < +\infty.$$

We recall that, by (1.1), if u is a solution of (1.2) then we have:

(4.4) 
$$||u||_H^2 \le \frac{1}{c} \langle Au, u \rangle.$$

Let u be a local A-analytic solution (see Lemma 4.1) of (1.2) defined on [0, T], T > 0. If we prove that u can be extended on the whole [0, T] as an A-analytic function, then by standard arguments we can easy obtain the global existence of u. In fact we prove Theorem 3.1-3.3-3.5 if we show that we can apply Lemma 2.3.

193

Proof of Theorem 3.1

- Case m, n bounded. We can apply directly Lemma 2.3.
- Case 1)-3) hold true.

By (3.1), there exists  $\theta$  such that  $M_0(r, s) \ge \theta$  on the strip  $r \le \frac{s}{r}$ . Moreover since (4.4) holds true and E is a conserved energy, then, for some  $\beta \ge 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'\|_{H}^{2} &= E(\|u_{1}\|_{H}^{2}, \|u_{0}\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au_{0}, u_{0} \rangle) - M_{0}(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) - K(\|u\|_{H}^{2}) \\ &\leq \beta - K(\|u\|_{H}^{2}), \end{aligned}$$

hence:

$$\begin{split} (\|u\|_H^2)' &= 2(u', u)_H \leq \|u\|_H^2 + \|u'\|_H^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|_H^2 + \beta - K(\|u\|_H^2). \end{split}$$

Now, if we define  $y := \|u\|_H^2$  we obtain the ordinary differential inequality  $y' \le$  $y + \beta - K(y)$ , and since  $y + \beta - K(y)$  is an admissible function, by a standard comparison argument y must be bounded on [0, T]. Hence  $||u'||_H^2$  and, by (3.2),  $\langle Au, u \rangle$  must be also bounded on [0, T].

Therefore  $m_u(t)$ ,  $n_u(t)$  are bounded, and we can apply Lemma 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3.

We only have to prove that we can apply Lemma 2.3, that is

(4.5) 
$$\int_{0}^{T} m_{u}(s) ds + \int_{0}^{T} |n_{u}(s)| ds < + \infty.$$

As in the second case of the previous theorem, we can prove that  $\|u\|_{H}^{2}$ , and hence  $|u'|_H^2$  are bounded on [0, T]. By this fact, since

$$M(\|u\|_{H}^{2},\langle Au,\;u\rangle)=E(\|u_{1}\|_{H}^{2},\,\|u_{0}\|_{H}^{2},\langle Au_{0},\;u_{0}\rangle)-\|u\,'\,\|_{H}^{2},$$

then  $M(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  is bounded too.

Let us define

$$E_0(t) := \|u + u'\|_H^2 + \|u\|_H^2 + M(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$$

Then, since E is a conserved energy and  $M(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  is bounded, one can easy see that for some constant  $\overline{C_T}$ :

$$E_0' = -2m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - 2n_u(t)||u||_H^2 + 2||u'||_H^2 + 4(u', u)_H$$

$$E_0' = -2m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t)||u||_H^2) + 2(||u + u'||_H^2 - ||u||_H^2)$$

$$= 2(-m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t)||u||_H^2) + 2E_0 + C_T).$$

$$\leq 2(-m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t)||u||_H^2 + 2E_0 + C_T).$$

Since  $||u||_H^2$  and  $M(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  are bounded, then by assumption (3.4),  $n(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  is bounded on [0, T[. Hence:

$$\int_{0}^{T} |n(||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle)| ds < + \infty.$$

Moreover, for some constant  $c_T$ :

some constant 
$$c_T$$
:
$$E_0' \leq -2m(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle) \langle Au, u \rangle + c_T + 2E_0.$$

By this, for some constant  $B_T$ :

$$\int_{0}^{T} 2m(\|u\|_{H}^{2},\langle Au,u\rangle)\langle Au,u\rangle ds \leq E_{0}(0) e^{2T} + B_{T},$$

hence it is also bounded

$$\int_{0}^{T} m(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) ds = \int_{[0, T_{1} \cap \{\langle Au, u \rangle > 1\}} m(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) ds + \int_{[0, T_{1} \cap \{\langle Au, u \rangle \leq 1\}} m(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) ds.$$

Firstly, we prove that,  $\|u'\|_{H}^{2}$ , and hence  $\|u\|_{H}^{2}$  are bounded on [0, T]. In Proof of Theorem 3.5. fact:

Firstly, we product: 
$$E' \leq |n_0(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle) ||u||_H ||u'||_H \leq \frac{1}{2} (n_0^2(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle) ||u||_H^2 + ||u'||_H^2).$$

Hence, since  $M \ge 0$  and K is nondecreasing  $E' \le E + K(E)$ . Since L(y) = y +K(y) is an admissible function, then by a standard argument for the ordinary differential inequalities, E must be bounded on [0, T]. Then  $\|u'\|_H^2$  and M (and hence  $||u||_H^2$  and  $n_0^2(||u||_H^2,\langle Au,u\rangle)||u||_H^2)$  are bounded.

Moreover if (3.5) hold true, then  $\langle Au, u \rangle$  is bounded, and hence the functions  $m(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  and  $n(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  are bounded too and we can apply Lemma 2.3.

If it is not the case, let us define, as in proof of Theorem 3.3:

$$E_0(t) := \|u + u'\|_H^2 + \|u\|_H^2 + M(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle).$$

Then, since E is a semi-conserved energy and  $n_0(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle) \|u\|_H$  is a bounded function, we have, for some constant  $C_T$ :

$$\begin{split} E_0' &= 2(-m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t) \|u\|_H^2 + \|u'\|_H^2) + \\ &+ 4(u', u)_H + 2n_0(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)(u', u)_H \\ &\leq 2(-m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t) \|u\|_H^2) + 5\|u'\|_H^2 \\ &+ 2\|u\|_H^2 + n_0^2(\|u\|_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle) \|u\|_H^2 \\ &\leq 2(-m_u(t)\langle Au, u \rangle - n_u(t) \|u\|_H^2 + C_T) \;. \end{split}$$

Case (3.6) holds true.

The function  $n(||u||_H^2, \langle Au, u \rangle)$  is bounded, hence as in the second case of the previous theorem we can prove that

$$\int_0^T m(\|u\|_H^2,\langle Au,u\rangle) ds + \int_0^T |n(\|u\|_H^2,\langle Au,u\rangle) |ds < + \infty,$$

and apply Lemma 2.3.

• Case (3.7)-(3.8) hold true.

Since  $\gamma$  is nondecreasing in each variable, then there exist two constant  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ such that:

$$|n(||u||_{H}^{2},\langle Au, u\rangle)| \leq \gamma(a_{1}, a_{2}, n_{0}(||u||_{H}^{2},\langle Au, u\rangle))$$

$$=: \gamma_{0}(n_{0}(||u||_{H}^{2},\langle Au, u\rangle)).$$

Let us set

$$\begin{split} & \varGamma_1 = \int\limits_{[0,\,T]\cap\{\langle Au,\,u\rangle \leq a_3\}} \gamma_0(n_0(\|u\|_H^2,\langle Au,\,u\rangle))\,d\tau \\ & \varGamma_2 = \int\limits_{[0,\,T]\cap\{\langle Au,\,u\rangle > a_3\}} \gamma_0(n_0(\|u\|_H^2,\langle Au,\,u\rangle)\,\phi(\langle Au,\,u\rangle))\,d\tau \,, \end{split}$$

where, for  $s \ge a_3$ , we have  $\phi(s) \ge 1$ . Since

$$\int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{0}(n_{0}(\|u\|_{H}^{2},\langle Au,u\rangle)) d\tau \leq \Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2},$$

we can conclude, by (3.7), that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |n(||u||_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle)| ds < + \infty.$$

CHISI MARINA

Therefore as in the previous theorem we can prove that

$$\int_{0}^{T} m(\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \langle Au, u \rangle) ds < +\infty$$

and apply Lemma 2.3.

Proof of Example 3.4.

We shall prove that there exist some initial data such that  $\|u\|_H^2$  blows-up in a finite time. In fact we have that:

$$((u_t, u)_H)' = -\frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{1 + \|\nabla u\|^4} + \|u_t\|^2 + \|u\|^6,$$

hence, integrating over [0, T]:

$$(u_t, u)_H = (u_1, u_0)_H + \int\limits_0^t \|u_t\|^2 + \|u\|^6 d\tau - \int\limits_0^t \frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{1 + \|\nabla u\|^4} d\tau.$$

Let us assume that  $t \leq 1$  and  $(u_1, u_0)_H > 1$ , therefore

$$(u_t, u)_H \ge \int_0^t ||u||^6 d\tau.$$

If we denote  $y = ||u||^2$ ,  $y_0 = ||u_0||^2$ , we obtain, for  $t \le 1$ :

$$y' \geqslant 2\int\limits_0^t y^3(\tau) d\tau ,$$

hence

$$\left(\frac{y^4}{4}\right)' = y^3 y' \geqslant \left(\left[\int_0^t y^3(\tau) d\tau\right]^2\right)'.$$

Then we have proved that:

(4.6) 
$$\frac{y^4}{4} \ge \frac{y_0^4}{4} + \left(\int_0^t y^3(\tau) d\tau\right)^2.$$

Now let us define

$$z := \int_0^t y^3(\tau) \ d\tau \ .$$

By (4.6) we deduce:

$$(z')^{4/3} \ge y_0^4 + 4z^2$$

hence by a standard comparison argument, if  $y_0$  is sufficiently big, z blows-up in a time  $T_0 < 1$ , and therefore y blows-up too.

Proof of Example 3.7.

The function

$$E(w, r, s) = w + \int_{0}^{s} m(x) dx + \int_{0}^{r} n(x) dx = w + M(r, s)$$

is a conserved energy, that, by (3.9) verifies (3.3) with  $M = M_0$  and K = 0. Moreover  $L(y) = y + \beta$  is obviously an admissible function, and n depends only from r, hence we can apply Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Example 3.8.

In this case a conserved energy is the function

$$E(w, r, s) = w + \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{c_0 r^2}{2} - r = w + M_0(r, s) - r.$$

Moreover  $M_0(r, s)$  is nonnegative on the strip  $r \leq \frac{s}{r}$  and  $L(y) = 2y + \beta$  is an admissible function for all  $\beta \ge 0$ . Then we can apply Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Example 3.9.

The function

$$E(w, r, s) = w + \frac{s^2}{2(1+r^2)} = w + M(r, s)$$

is a conserved energy. Therefore all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 as obviously verified, by assuming  $M_0(r, s) = M(r, s)$  and K(r) = 0.

Proof of Example 3.10.

The function

$$E(w, r, s) = w + \arctan(s) r = w + M(r, s)$$

is a conserved energy that verifies (3.3) with  $M_0(r, s) = M(r, s)$  and K(r) = 0. Moreover  $L(y) = y + \beta$  is an admissible function, and n is bounded. Then we can apply Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Example 3.11.

We can apply Corollary 3.6, since the function

$$E(w, r, s) = w + \frac{s^3}{3} = w + M(r, s)$$

is a semi-conserved energy, with  $n_0(r, s) = -s$ , and for  $r \le c_0^{-1} s$  (where (1.1) is verified with  $c = c_0$ ):

$$n_0^2(r, s) r = s^2 r \le c_0^{-1} s^3$$
.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Arosio, Averaged evolution equations. The Kirchhoff string and its treatment in scales of Banach Spaces, 2° Workshop on "Functional-analytic methods in complex analysis" (in Proc. Trieste 1993, World Singapore).
- [2] A. Arosio S. Spagnolo, Global existence for abstract evolution equations of weakly hyperbolic type, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 65 (1986), 263-305.
- [3] S. Bernstein, Sur une classe d'équations fonctionelles aux dérivées partielles, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Sér Math., 4 (1940), 17-26.
- [4] F. COLOMBINI E. DE GIORGI S. SPAGNOLO, sur les équations hyperboliques avec des coefficients qui ne dépendent que du temps, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 6 (1979), 511-559.
- [5] P. D'Ancona S. Spagnolo, Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, Invent. Math., 108 (1992), 247-262.
- [6] P. D'Ancona S. Spagnolo, On an abstract weakly hyperbolic equation modeling the nonlinear vibrating string, Developments in Partial Differential Equations and Applications to Mathematical Physic, Edited by G. Buttazzo et. al. Plenum Press, New-York 1992.
- [7] M. GHISI S. SPAGNOLO, Global analytic solutions to hyperbolic systems, NODEA, 5 (1998), 245-264.
- [8] G. Kirchhoff, «Vorlesurngen über Mechanik» Teubner, Leipzig 1883.
- [9] S. I. Pohozaev, On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, Mat. Sbornik, 96 (138) (1975) No. 1, 152-166 (Trans. Math. USSR Sbornik, 25 (1975) No. 1).
- [10] S. SPAGNOLO, The Cauchy problem for the Kirchhoff equations, Rend. Sem. Fisico Matematico di Milano, 62 (1992), 17-51.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa Via Buonarroti 2, 56100 Pisa, Italy e-mail: ghisi@dm.unipi.it