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# LONGITUDES OF A LINK AND PRINCIPALITY OF AN ALEXANDER IDEAL 

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN


#### Abstract

In this note it is shown that the longitudes of a $\mu$-component homology boundary link $L$ are in the second commutator subgroup $G^{\prime \prime}$ of the link group $G$ if and only if the $\mu$ th Alexander ideal $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(L)$ is principal, generalizing the result announced for $\mu=2$ by R. H. Crowell and E. H. Brown. These two properties were separately hypothesized as characterizations of boundary links by R. H. Fox and N. F. Smythe.


For a $\mu$-component homology boundary link $L$ the first nonvanishing Alexander ideal is $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(L)$. If $L$ is actually a boundary link, then $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(L)$ is principal and the longitudes of $L$ lie in the second commutator subgroup of the link group [2], [6]. R. H. Crowell and E. H. Brown have announced that the latter two assertions are equivalent for a 2 -component homology boundary link [2]. This note presents a proof of the following generalization.

Theorem. Let $L: \cup_{i=1}^{\mu} S_{i}^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ be a (locally flat) $\mu$-component homology boundary link, with group $G$. Then $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(L)=\left(\Delta_{\mu}\right) \cdot A$ where $A$ is contained in the annihilator ideal (in

$$
\left.\Lambda=\mathbf{Z}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\mu}\right] \approx \mathbf{Z}\left[t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{\mu}, t_{\mu}^{-1}\right]\right)
$$

of the image of the longitudes in the $\Lambda$-module $G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}$, and $A$ is contained in no proper principal ideal. Hence $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(L)$ is principal if and only if the longitudes of $L$ lie in $G^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. $L$ extends to an imbedding $N: \cup_{i=1}^{\mu} S_{i}^{1} \times D^{2} \rightarrow S^{3}$, since it is locally flat. Let $X=S^{3}-\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Im}(N))$ have base point $x_{0} \in X-\partial X$. Then $G \approx \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. Let $p: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the maximal abelian cover of $X$ and choose $x_{0}^{\prime} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, so that $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \approx G^{\prime}$ and $H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}$. By definition of homology boundary link there is a map

$$
f:\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bigvee_{j=1} S_{j}^{1}, *\right)
$$

inducing an epimorphism of fundamental groups, and $p$ is the pullback via $f$ of the maximal abelian cover of $\bigvee_{j=1}^{\mu} S_{j}^{1}$. Thus $X^{\prime}$ may be constructed by splitting $X$ along "Seifert surfaces", as was done in [3] for boundary links. For

[^1]each $j$ such that $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mu$, choose $P_{j} \in S_{j}^{1}$ distinct from the wedge-point $*$, and let $V_{j}=f^{-1}\left(P_{j}\right)$. After homotoping $f$ if necessary, each $V_{j}$ may be assumed a connected, bicollared submanifold. Let $Y=X-\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mu}$ int $W_{j}$, where the $W_{j}$ are disjoint regular neighborhoods of the $V_{j}$ in $X$. There are two natural embeddings of each $V_{j}$ in $Y$; call one $\nu_{j+}$ and the other $\nu_{j-}$. (Making such a choice is equivalent to choosing a local orientation for each $P_{i}$ in $\bigvee_{j=1}^{\mu} S_{j}^{1}$, or choosing orientations for the meridians of $L$.) $Y$ is a deformation retract of $X-V$, where $V=\cup_{j=1}^{\mu} V_{j}$. Then one has
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime} & =Y \times \mathbf{Z}^{\mu} / \nu_{j+}(w) \times\left\langle n_{1}, \ldots, n_{j}+1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\rangle \\
& \sim \nu_{j-}(w) \times\left\langle\dot{n}_{1}, \ldots, n_{j}, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \forall w \in V_{j}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mu
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ then appears in the following segment of a Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(V) \otimes \Lambda & \xrightarrow{d_{1}} H_{1}(Y) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \\
& \rightarrow H_{0}(V) \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{d_{0}} H_{0}(Y) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{*} \mid H_{*}\left(V_{j}\right) \otimes \Lambda=\left(\nu_{j+}\right)_{*} \otimes t_{j}-\left(\nu_{j-}\right)_{*} \otimes 1$ and homology is taken with integral coefficients. The map $f$ induces a map from this Mayer-Vietoris sequence to the corresponding one for the maximal abelian covering space of $\bigvee_{j=1}^{\mu} S_{j}^{1}$ :

$$
0-F(\mu)^{\prime} / F(\mu)^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mu} \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

(Here $F(\mu)$ is the free group of rank $\mu$, and $\varepsilon: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the augmentation homomorphism.) Since each $V_{j}$ is connected, the maps on the degree zero terms are all isomorphisms. Thus one concludes that

$$
H_{1}(V) \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{d_{1}} H_{1}(Y) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, where

$$
K=\operatorname{ker}\left(: G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow F(\mu)^{\prime} / F(\mu)^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(: H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}(V) \otimes \Lambda\right)
$$

Likewise $f$ induces a map from the 4 term exact sequence of Crowell [1]

$$
0 \rightarrow G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow A(G) \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

to the corresponding sequence for $F(\mu)$ (which is just the above MayerVietoris sequence for $\left.\bigvee_{j=1}^{\mu} S_{j}^{1}\right)$ and so $0-K \rightarrow A(G) \rightarrow A(F(\mu))=\Lambda^{\mu} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. From this last short exact sequence one concludes that $\mathcal{E}_{k}(L)=$ $\mathcal{E}_{k}(A(G))$ is equal to the ideal generated by $\cup_{l=0}^{k} \mathcal{E}_{l}(K) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{k-l}\left(\Lambda^{\mu}\right)$; in particular $\mathcal{E}_{\mu-1}(L)=0$ and $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(L)=\mathcal{E}_{0}(K)$.

Now the $\Lambda$-submodule of $H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ generated by the longitudes is the image of $H_{1}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ via the inclusion map, and is contained in the image of $H_{1}(Y) \otimes$ $\Lambda$, so is contained in $K$. Let $B$ be this submodule, and let $Q$ be the quotient $\Lambda$-module. Thus $0-B-K \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and $\mathscr{E}_{0}(K)=\mathscr{E}_{0}(Q) \cdot \mathscr{E}_{0}(B)$ (because $Q$ has a square presentation matrix-see below). It is easy to see that $(\operatorname{Ann}(B))^{\mu} \subset \mathcal{E}_{0}(B)$ : if

$$
\Lambda^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{M} \Lambda^{\mu} \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \rightarrow 0
$$

is a presentation for $B$ with $\varphi\left(e_{i}\right)=e$ th longitude (where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th standard basis element of $\Lambda^{\mu}$ ), and if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu} \in \operatorname{Ann}(B)$ then

$$
\Lambda^{\lambda} \oplus \Lambda^{\mu} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mu} \xrightarrow{\tilde{M}} B \xrightarrow{\varphi} 0
$$

is also a presentation for $B$, where $\tilde{M}=\left(M, \operatorname{diag}\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu}\right\}\right)$, and so

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_{i}=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu}\right\}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{0}(B)
$$

It is scarcely more difficult to see that $\mathcal{E}_{0}(B) \subset \operatorname{Ann}(B):$ let $\delta$ be the determinant of the $\mu \times \mu$ minor $M^{\prime \prime}$ of $M$. Then

$$
\Lambda^{\mu} \xrightarrow{M^{\prime \prime}} \Lambda^{\mu} \rightarrow \text { Coker } M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

presents a module of which $B$ is a quotient. Now if $\Sigma m_{i} e_{i} \in \Lambda^{\mu}$, then by Cramer's rule $\delta \cdot \sum m_{i} e_{i}=M^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum n_{j} e_{j}\right)$ where $n_{j}$ is the determinant at the matrix obtained by replacing the $i$ th column of $M^{\prime \prime}$ with the column of coefficients $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$. Hence $\delta$ annihilates Coker $M^{\prime \prime}$, and a fortiori, $B$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}_{0}(B)$, which is generated by such determinants, is contained in $\operatorname{Ann}(B)$. Thus to prove the theorem it will suffice to show that $\mathscr{E}_{0}(B)$ is not contained in any proper principal ideal, and that $Q$ has a presentation of the form $\Lambda^{q} \xrightarrow{P} \Lambda^{q} \rightarrow$ $Q \rightarrow 0$ so that $\mathscr{E}_{0}(Q)=(\operatorname{det} P)$ is principal.

Choose base points in $V_{i} \cap \partial N\left(S_{i}^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$ for each $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mu$, and choose paths from these base points to $\alpha_{0}$. (Equivalently, $X^{\prime}$ contains copies of $V_{i}$ indexed by $\mathbf{Z}^{\mu}$. Choose one such lift, $V_{i}^{\prime}$, for each $i$.) If one now orients the link $L$, the longitudes are unambiguously defined, as elements of $G$. Let $l_{i}$ be the image of the $i$ th longitude in $B$. Since the $i$ th longitude commutes with the $i$ th meridian, one has $\left(t_{i}-1\right) l_{i}=0$. In contrast to the case of boundary links, $\partial V_{j}$ will in general have several components; however $\partial V_{j} \cap \partial N\left(S_{i}^{1} \times\right.$ $\left.D^{2}\right)$ is always homologous in $\partial N\left(S_{i}^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$ to the $i$ th longitude, if $j=i$, and to 0 otherwise. $\partial V_{i}^{\prime}$ is a union of translates of loops in the homology classes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{\mu}$. Hence there are relations of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} p_{i j}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\mu}\right) l_{j}=0
$$

in $B$, and by the above remarks on $\partial V_{j}$, one has $p_{i j}(1, \ldots, 1)=0$ for $i \neq j$ and $p_{i i}(1, \ldots, 1)= \pm 1$. Since $t_{i} \cdot l_{i}=1 \cdot l_{i}$, one may assume that $p_{i}=$ $p_{i i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\mu}\right)$ does not involve $t_{i}$. Clearly $p_{i} \Pi_{j \neq i}\left(t_{j}-1\right)$ is the determinant of a $\mu \times \mu$ matrix of relations for $B$, and so is in $\mathscr{E}_{0}(B)$. (For what follows it would be sufficient to observe that it clearly annihilates $B$, and so the $\mu$ th power is in $\mathscr{E}_{0}(B)$.) Let $(c)$ be a principal ideal containing $\mathscr{E}_{0}(B)$. Since $\Lambda$ is a factorial domain, $c$ may be assumed irreducible. Therefore $p_{1} \Pi_{j>1}\left(t_{j}-1\right) \in$ (c) implies $c$ divides $p_{1}$ or some $\left(t_{j}-1\right)$ for $j>1$. If $c=t_{j}-1$, then $c$ cannot divide $p_{j} \Pi_{k \neq j}\left(t_{k}-1\right)$ which does not involve $t_{j}$. If $c$ divides $p_{i}$ for each $i$,
$1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mu$, then $c$ involves none of the variables and hence is in $\mathbf{Z}$. Since $p_{i}(1, \ldots, 1)= \pm 1, c= \pm 1$ and so $(c)=\Lambda$.

Let $J=\operatorname{ker}\left(: H_{1}(X-V, \partial X-V) \rightarrow H_{0}(\partial X-V)\right)=H_{1}(X-V) / H_{1}(\partial X$
$-V)$. From the following commutative diagram of $\Lambda$-modules

(with rows from exact sequences of pairs and columns from Mayer-Vietoris sequences of $\mathbf{Z}^{\mu}$-covers), one deduces a commutative diagram

in which all rows and the first two columns are exact. It follows that the third column is exact, and so

$$
\left(H_{1}(V) / H_{1}(\partial V)\right) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow J \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0
$$

is a presentation for $Q$. Let $\rho=r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}(V), \sigma=r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}(\partial V)$. Since $0 \rightarrow$ $H_{2}(V, \partial V) \rightarrow H_{1}(\partial V) \rightarrow H_{1}(V)$ is exact, one has $r k_{\mathbf{z}}\left(H_{1}(V) / H_{1}(\partial V)\right)=\rho-$ $\sigma+\mu$. Similarly,

$$
H_{1}(X-V, \partial X-V) \rightarrow H_{0}(\partial X-V) \rightarrow H_{0}(X-V) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, and $r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{0}(\partial X-V)=\sigma, r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{0}(X-V)=1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
r k_{\mathbf{z}} J & =r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}(X-V, \partial X-V)-\sigma+1 \\
& =r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V, \operatorname{Im} N\right)-\sigma+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now each component of the link is the homology boundary of a (singular) surface in $S^{3}-V$, and so the natural map

$$
H_{1}(\operatorname{Im} N) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V\right)
$$

is null. Therefore
$0-H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V, \operatorname{Im} N\right) \rightarrow H_{0}(\operatorname{Im} N) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(S^{3}-V\right) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and so $r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V, \operatorname{Im} N\right)=r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}\left(S^{3}-V\right)+\mu-1=$ $r k_{\mathbf{z}} H_{1}(V)+\mu-1$ by Alexander duality $=\rho+\mu-1$. Thus $r k_{\mathbf{z}} J=\rho+\mu$
$-\sigma=r k_{\mathbf{z}}\left(H_{1}(V) / H_{1}(\partial V)\right)$, and so $\mathscr{E}_{0}(Q)$ is principal. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remarks. 1. Brown and Crowell asserted the somewhat more precise result (for $\mu=2$ ) that $A$ could be generated by 3 elements, of the form ( $t_{1}-$ 1) $p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right),\left(t_{2}-1\right) p_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+p_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)-1$ where $p_{i}(1)=1$, and that the $i$ th longitude lay in $G^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $p_{3-i}\left(t_{3-i}\right)$ were a unit [2]. This follows readily from $A=A_{1} \cap A_{2}$, where $A_{i}$ is the annihilator of the $i$ th longitude and equals $\left(t_{i}-1, p_{3-i}\left(t_{3-i}\right)\right)$ for some $p_{i}$, as above.
2. Fox and Smythe conjectured that if the longitudes were in $G^{\prime \prime}$, then the link would be a boundary link [6]. H. W. Lambert has constructed a 2-component homology boundary link which is not a boundary link, as a counterexample to this conjecture [4]. (Figure 1 of his paper is incorrectly drawn: the shorter longitude of this example does not map to 0 in the Alexander module (via Crowell's inclusion $0-G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow A(G)$ [1]) and hence this link is not such a counterexample. ${ }^{1}$ ) Notice also that boundary links have the stronger (but less tractable?) property that the longitudes are in $\left(G_{\omega}\right)^{\prime}$ (where $G_{\omega}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}$ is the intersection of the terms of the lower central series). This follows from the construction of the $\omega$-covering by splitting the link complement along Seifert surfaces, as in [3].
3. If $L$ is trivial then $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(L)=\Lambda$, but the converse is false, even for knots ( $\mu=1$ ), for there exists nontrivial knots (for instance doubled knots with twist number 0) with Alexander polynomial 1 [5].
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