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## Introduction

Given an integer $n \geq 1, B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the Banach space of real functions with bounded variation on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, namely the functions $u$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ whose distributional gradient $D u$ is a bounded (vector-valued) Radon measure. As usual, $\|u\|_{B V}:=\|u\|_{1}+\|D u\|$, where $\|D u\|$ is the total variation of the measure $D u$.

A Banach space $F$ has the bounded approximation property if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every compact set $K \subset F$ one can find a finite-rank operator $T$ from $F$ into itself with norm $\|T\| \leq C$, where $C$ is a finite constant which depends only on $F$, so that $\|T y-y\|_{F} \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y \in K$ (cf. [4], Definition 1.e.11). In this definition, it is clearly equivalent to consider only sets $K$ which are finite and contained in a prescribed dense subset of $F$.

We prove the following:
Theorem 1. - The space $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has the bounded approximation property.
Remarks. - (i) The operators $T$ given in our proof are projections.
(ii) The result can be extended to the space $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ of $B V$ functions valued in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, as well as the corresponding Banach spaces on over the complex scalars. Moreover, it holds for the space $B V\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ of $B V$ functions on an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the extension property, namely when there exists a bounded extension operator from $B V(\Omega)$ to $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This class includes all bounded open sets $\Omega$ with Lipschitz boundary. We do not know if the result holds for $\Omega$ an arbitrary open set.
(iii) A careful analysis of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that for every separable subspace $X$ of $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ there is a sequence $\left(P_{k}\right)$ of commuting finite rank projections from $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into itself with uniformly bounded norms such
that $P_{k} f \rightarrow f$ in $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for every $f \in X$. Moreover there is a separable subspace $Y$ of $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with a Schauder basis which contains $X$.

Specific aspects of Banach space theory and approximation theory of these spaces have been recently studied in [3], [7] (non linear approximation by Haar polynomial, boundedness of some averaging projections), and [6] (failure of lattice structure). Theorem 1 provides further information on Banach space properties of spaces of functions of bounded variation.
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## Proof of the result

Let us fix some notation: $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathscr{H}^{d}$ is the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Let $\mu$ be a positive measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, D$ a Borel set, and $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ a function whose restriction to $D$ is $\mu$-summable; if $0<\mu(D)<\infty$, we denote by $f_{\mu, D}:=\left(\int_{D} f d \mu\right) / \mu(D)$ the average of $f$ on $D$ with respect to the measure $\mu$. We set $f_{\mu, D}:=0$ when $\mu(D)=0$. If $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure we simply write $f_{D}$. Since no confusion may arise, we denote by $1_{A}$ the characteristic function of a set $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (and not the average of 1 on $A$ ).

Given a finite Borel measure $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, real- or $\mathbb{R}^{k}$-valued, $|\lambda|$ is the variation of $\lambda$, while $\lambda_{a}$ and $\lambda_{s}$ are the absolutely continuous and the singular part of $\lambda$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Given a positive locally finite measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d \lambda}{d \mu}$ is the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\lambda$ with respect to $\mu$; so $\frac{d \lambda}{d \mu}$ is defined even if $\lambda$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$, in which case it is the Radon-Nikodým derivative of the absolutely continuous part of $\lambda$ with respect to $\mu$. Note that $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{a}$ and $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{s}$ are bounded linear operators from the space of measures $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into itself, while $\lambda \mapsto \frac{d \lambda}{d \mu}$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into $L^{1}(\mu)$.

If $u$ is a $B V$ function, we write $D_{a} u$ and $D_{s} u$ for the absolutely continuous and the singular part of the measure $D u$. We denote by $B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the class of all functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which belong to $B V(A)$ for every bounded open set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$; in this case $|D u|$ is a locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We will need the following scaled version of Poincaré inequality (cf. [2], Remark 3.50): for
every open cube $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and every $u \in B V(Q)$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left|u-u_{Q}\right| d \mathscr{L}^{n} \leq C \operatorname{diam}(Q)|D u|(Q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The letter $C$ denotes any constant that might depend only on the dimension of the space $n$; the actual value of $C$ may change at every occurrence.

Lemma 2. - Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a locally finite family of pairwise disjoint open cubes $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose closures cover $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $u$ is a function in $B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with average 0 on each cube $Q \in \mathscr{P}$ then

$$
\|D u\| \leq C|D u|(\Omega)
$$

where $\Omega$ is the the union of all $Q \in \mathscr{P}$.
Proof. - We must show that $|D u|(E) \leq C|D u|(\Omega)$ for $E:=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$. Since the covering $\mathscr{P}$ is locally finite, $E$ is the union of all boundaries $\partial Q$ with $Q \in \mathscr{P}$. Thus $E$ is an $(n-1)$-rectifiable set, and the restriction of the measure $|D u|$ to $E$ is given by $|D u|\left\llcorner E=\left|\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{+}(u)-\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{-}(u)\right| \mathscr{H}^{n-1} L E\right.$, where $\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{+}(u)$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{-}(u)$ are the traces of $u$ on the two sides of $E$ with respect to some choice of orientation for $E$, and $\mathscr{H}^{n-1} L E$ denotes the restriction of the measure $\mathscr{H}^{n-1}$ to the set $E$ (see [2], Theorem 3.77). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D u|(E) & =\int_{E}\left|\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{+}(u)-\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{-}(u)\right| d \mathscr{H}^{n-1} \\
& \leq \int_{E}\left|\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{+}(u)\right|+\left|\operatorname{tr}_{E}^{-}(u)\right| d \mathscr{H}^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}} \int_{\partial Q}\left|\operatorname{tr}_{\partial \Omega}^{-}(u)\right| d \mathscr{H}^{n-1} \\
& \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}} C|D u|(Q)=C|D u|(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality in the fourth line is obtained as follows. For every open cube $Q$ with size 1 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and every $u \in B V(Q)$ there holds

$$
\left\|\operatorname{tr}_{\partial \Omega}^{-}(u)\right\|_{L^{1}(\partial Q)} \leq C\|u\|_{B V(Q)}
$$

by the continuity of the trace operator, cf. [2], Theorem 3.87 (here $L^{1}(\partial Q)$ stands, as usual, for $L^{1}\left(\mathscr{H}^{n-1} L \partial Q\right)$ ). If in addition $u$ has average 0 on $Q$ then $\|u\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \leq C|D u|(Q)$ by (1), and therefore

$$
\left\|\operatorname{tr}_{\partial \Omega}^{-}(u)\right\|_{L^{1}(\partial Q)} \leq C|D u|(Q)
$$

Since the last inequality is scaling invariant, it holds for cubes of any size.
Definition 3. - Let $\mu$ be a locally finite, positive measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $\mathscr{P}$ be a countable family of pairwise disjoint, bounded Borel sets. We denote by $\Omega$ the union of all $D \in \mathscr{P}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma:=\sup \{\operatorname{diam}(D): D \in \mathscr{P}\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for every map $f \in L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U f:=\sum_{D \in \mathscr{P}} f_{\mu, D} 1_{D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. - (a) The operator $U$ defined in (3) is a bounded linear operator from $L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ into $L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ with norm $\|U\|=1$.
(b) Given a sequence of coverings $\mathscr{P}_{i}$, define $\Omega_{i}, \sigma_{i}$, and $U_{i}$ accordingly. If $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$, then $\left(U_{i} f-1_{\Omega_{i}} f\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ for every $f$ in $L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{D \in \mathscr{P}_{i}} \int\left|f-f_{\mu, D}\right| d \mu \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Statement (a) is immediate. To prove statement (b), we remark that since the operators $U_{i}$ and the truncation operators $f \mapsto 1_{\Omega_{i}} f$ are uniformly bounded, it suffices to show that $\left(U_{i} f-1_{\Omega_{i}} f\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $f$ in a suitable a dense subset of $L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$. For instance, we can take $f$ continuous and compactly supported, and then (4) is an immediate consequence of the uniform continuity of $f$. $\square$

Definition 5. - Fix $\bar{u}$ in $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and set $\mu:=|D \bar{u}|$. Given a locally finite family $\mathscr{P}$ of mutually disjoint open cubes $Q$ whose closures cover $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define $\sigma$ as in (2), and for every $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{1} u(x) & :=\sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}} u_{Q} 1_{Q}(x) \\
T^{2} u(x) & :=\sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}}\left[\frac{d D u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}\right]_{Q} \cdot\left(x-x_{Q}\right) 1_{Q}(x) \\
T^{3} u(x) & :=\sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}}\left[\frac{d D_{s} u}{d \mu} \cdot \frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu}\right]_{\mu, Q}\left(\bar{u}(x)-\bar{u}_{Q}\right) 1_{Q}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

(here $x_{Q}$ is the center of $Q$, i.e., the average of the identity map $x \mapsto x$ over $Q$, and the dot ". " stands for the usual scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ). Finally we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u:=T^{1} u+T^{2} u+T^{3} u . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6. - (a) The operator $T$ defined in (5) is a bounded linear operator from $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into itself with norm $\|T\| \leq C(1+\sigma)$. If in addition $D_{a} \bar{u}=0$, then $T$ is a projection.
(b) Given a sequence of families $\mathscr{P}_{i}$ of cubes which satisfy the assumptions of Definition 5, we define $\sigma_{i}$ and $T_{i}$ accordingly, and if $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$, then $T_{i} u \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for every $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. If in addition $D_{s} u$ is of the form $D_{s} u=f \cdot D \bar{u}$ with $f$ a scalar function, then $T_{i} u \rightarrow u$ in $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. - We first prove (a). The following estimates are immediate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|T^{1} u\right\|_{1} \leq\|u\|_{1}  \tag{6}\\
& \left\|T^{2} u\right\|_{1} \leq \sigma\left\|D_{a} u\right\|  \tag{7}\\
& \left\|T^{3} u\right\|_{1} \leq C \sigma\left\|D_{s} u\right\| \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(for the second estimate we use that $\left|x-x_{Q}\right| \leq \operatorname{diam}(Q) \leq \sigma$ and for the third that $\int_{Q}\left|\bar{u}-\bar{u}_{Q}\right| \leq C \sigma|D \bar{u}|(Q)$ by estimate (1)). Estimates ( $6,7,8$ ) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\|_{1} \leq\|u\|_{1}+C \sigma\|D u\| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $\|D(T u)\|$ we notice that $T u$ and $u$ have the same average on every cube $Q \in \mathscr{P}$, and therefore we can use Lemma 2 to estimate the total variation of $D(T u-u)$; denoting by $\Omega$ the union of the open cubes $Q \in \mathscr{P}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|D(T u)\| & \leq\|D u\|+\|D(T u-u)\| \\
& \leq\|D u\|+C|D(T u-u)|(\Omega) \\
& \leq(1+C)\|D u\|+C|D(T u)|(\Omega) \\
& \leq(1+C)\|D u\|+C \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}}\left|D\left(T^{2} u\right)\right|(Q)+\left|D\left(T^{3} u\right)\right|(Q) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T^{2} u$ is affine on $Q$ and its gradient is the average of $\frac{d D u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}$ over $Q$, we have

$$
\left|D\left(T^{2} u\right)\right|(Q)=\left|\left[\frac{d D u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}\right]_{Q}\right| \mathscr{L}^{n}(Q) \leq \int_{Q}\left|\frac{d D u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}\right| d \mathscr{L}^{n} \leq|D u|(Q)
$$

The gradient of $T^{3} u$ on $\Omega$ agrees with $D \bar{u}$ times the average of the scalar product of $\frac{d D_{s} u}{d \mu}$ and $\frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu}$ with respect to the measure $\mu=|D \bar{u}|$, and since $\left|\frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu}\right|=1 \mu$-almost everywhere,

$$
\left|D\left(T^{3} u\right)\right|(Q)=\left|\left[\frac{d D_{s} u}{d \mu} \cdot \frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu}\right]_{\mu, Q}\right| \mu(Q) \leq \int_{Q}\left|\frac{d D_{s} u}{d \mu}\right| d \mu \leq|D u|(Q)
$$

Hence (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D(T u)\| \leq C\|D u\| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimates (9) and (11) imply the first part of statement (a).
Concerning the second part, assume that $D_{a} \bar{u}=0$. Notice that $T^{1}, T^{2}, T^{3}$ are mutually commuting projections, thus $T$ is a projection, and its range is the direct sum of the ranges of $T^{1}, T^{2}, T^{3}$, namely the space of all $B V$ functions whose restriction to each $Q \in \mathscr{P}$ agrees with a linear combination of $\bar{u}$ and an affine function.

Let us prove statement (b). Inequality (1) yields

$$
\left\|T_{i}^{1} u-u\right\|_{1}=\sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}} \int_{Q}\left|u-u_{Q}\right| d \mathscr{L}^{n} \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}} C \sigma_{i}|D u|(Q) \leq C \sigma_{i}\|D u\|
$$

and recalling estimates (7), (8) we get

$$
\left\|T_{i} u-u\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|T_{i}^{1} u-u\right\|_{1}+\left\|T_{i}^{2} u\right\|_{1}+\left\|T_{i}^{3} u\right\|_{1} \leq C \sigma_{i}\|D u\|
$$

which tends to 0 as $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow 0$. To prove the second part of statement (b), we denote by $\Omega_{i}$ the union of all cubes $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}$, and estimate $\left\|D\left(T_{i} u-u\right)\right\|$ using Lemma 2 again and taking into account that $D\left(T_{i}^{1} u\right)(Q)=0$ for every $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D\left(T_{i} u-u\right)\right\| & \leq C\left|D\left(T_{i} u-u\right)\right|\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \\
& =C \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}}\left|D\left(T_{i} u\right)-D u\right|(Q) \\
& \leq C \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}}\left|D\left(T_{i}^{2} u\right)-D_{a} u\right|(Q)+\left|D\left(T_{i}^{3} u\right)-D_{s} u\right|(Q) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $g:=\frac{d D u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}=\frac{d D_{a} u}{d \mathscr{L}^{n}}$, we have $D\left(T_{i}^{2} u\right)=g_{Q} \mathscr{L}^{n}$ on each $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D\left(T_{i}^{2} u\right)-D_{a} u\right|(Q)=\int_{Q}\left|g_{Q}-g\right| d \mathscr{L}^{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D_{s} u=f D \bar{u}$, a simple computation yields $D\left(T_{i}^{3} u\right)=f_{\mu, Q} D \bar{u}$ on each $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D\left(T_{i}^{3} u\right)-D_{s} u\right|(Q)=\int_{Q}\left|f_{\mu, Q}-f\right| d|D \bar{u}| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally $(12,13,14)$ yield

$$
\left\|D\left(T_{i} u-u\right)\right\| \leq C \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{P}_{i}}\left[\int_{Q}\left|g-g_{Q}\right| d \mathscr{L}^{n}+\int_{Q}\left|f-f_{\mu, Q}\right| d \mu\right]
$$

By (4) both sums at the right-hand side of this inequality vanish as $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow 0$, and the proof of statement (b) is complete.

Lemma 7. - Let $u_{j}$ be a sequence of functions from $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then there exists $\bar{u} \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $D_{a} \bar{u}=0$, and for every $j, D_{s} u_{j}$ can be written in the form $D_{s} u_{j}=f_{j} D \bar{u}$ for some scalar function $f_{j} \in L^{1}\left(\left|D_{s} \bar{u}\right|\right)$.

Proof. - This result is essentially contained in [1], but not explicitly stated there. In the following proof, all numbered statements and definitions are taken from [1]; we omit to recall the full statements.

Let $\mu:=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\left|D_{s} u_{j}\right|$, where the $\alpha_{j}$ are positive numbers chosen so to make the series converge. Let $E(\mu, x) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the normal space to $\mu$ at every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in the sense of Definition 2.3, namely a Borel map which takes every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ into a linear subspace $E(x)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfies $\frac{d D u}{d \mu}(x) \in E(x)$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x$ and every $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and is $\mu$-minimal with respect to inclusion.

It follows immediately that $\frac{d D_{s} u_{j}}{d \mu}(x) \in E(\mu, x)$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x$ and every $j$, and then $E(\mu, x)$ contains non-zero vectors for $\mu$ a.e. $x$. Then we can choose a Borel map $f \in L^{1}\left(\mu, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ so that $f(x) \in E(\mu, x)$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x$ (cf. Proposition 2.11), and since $\mu$ is a singular measure, we can also assume that $f(x)=0$ for $\mathscr{L}^{n}$-a.e. $x$. Set now $\mu^{\prime}:=\mu+\mathscr{L}^{n}$. By Proposition 2.6(iii), $f(x) \in E\left(\mu^{\prime}, x\right)$ for $\mu^{\prime}$-a.e. $x$, and we can apply Theorem 2.12 to $f$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ to obtain a $B V$ function $\bar{u}$ such that

$$
\frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu^{\prime}}(x)=f(x) \quad \text { for } \mu^{\prime} \text {-a.e. } x .
$$

Since $f(x)=0$ for $\mathscr{L}^{n}$-a.e. $x$, then $D \bar{u}$ is singular, and since $f(x) \neq 0$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x$, then $\mu \ll|D \bar{u}|$. In particular $\left|D_{s} u_{j}\right| \ll|D \bar{u}|$ for every $j$.

Finally, by Theorem 3.1 the space $E(\mu, x)$ has dimension at most 1 for every $x$ because $\mu$ is a singular measure, and since both $\frac{d D u_{j}}{d \mu}(x)$ and $\frac{d D \bar{u}}{d \mu}(x)$ belong $E(\mu, x)$, then they must be parallel for $\mu$-a.e. $x . \quad \square$

The following lemma is well-known (see, for instance, [2, Corollary 3.89], [5, Section I.8, Theorem 2], [7,Proposition 5]):

Lemma 8. - Let $Q$ be any open cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with edge-length $r \geq 1$, and let $U_{Q}$ be the associated truncation operator, that is, $U_{Q} u:=1_{Q} u . U_{Q}$ is a linear projection on $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm bounded by some universal constant $C$.

Proof of Theorem 1. - Let be given $\varepsilon>0$ and a finite set $K=\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ of $B V$ functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Take $\bar{u}$ as in Lemma 7. Take a sequence of families $\mathscr{P}_{i}$ of cubes which satisfy the assumptions of Definition 5, so that $\sigma_{i} \leq 1$ and $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$ (cf. (2)), and consider the corresponding operators $T_{i}$. By Lemma 6 these operators are
projections, their norms $\left\|T_{i}\right\|$ are bounded by a universal constant, and there is $i$ so large that $\left\|T_{i} u_{j}-u_{j}\right\|_{B V}<\varepsilon$ for every $j$.

However, $T_{i}$ has not finite rank. To solve this problem, we choose an open cube $Q$ which contains the support of all $u_{j}$, take $U_{Q}$ as in Lemma 8, and set $T:=U_{Q} T_{i}$. If we have chosen $Q$ so that every cube in $\mathscr{P}_{i}$ which intersects $Q$ is actually contained in $Q$, then $T$ is a projection, too.
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